1		
2		
3		
4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	WILLIAM J. WHITSITT,	Case No. <u>16-cv-00797-MMC</u>
8	Plaintiff,	ORDER CONTINUING HEARING ON
9	V.	DEFENDANT TESLA MOTORS, INC.'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER
10	WEST VALLEY STAFFING GROUP, et	GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF CASES
11	al., Defendants.	Re: Dkt. No. 78
12		
13	By order filed concurrently herewith, the Court has found plaintiff's application to	
14	proceed in forma pauperis in the above-titled action is insufficient and has directed	
15	plaintiff, should he wish to proceed with the instant action, to either pay the filing fee to	
16	the Clerk of the Court by June 9, 2017, or file by that date a new application to proceed in	
17	forma pauperis. In light thereof, the Court hereby CONTINUES the hearing on defendant	
18	Tesla Motors, Inc.'s "Motion to Reconsider Order Granting Reinstatement of Cases,"	
19	from June 2, 2017, to June 30, 2017.	
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22		in a production
23	Dated: May 26, 2017	Matine M. Chelmer MAXINE M. CHESNEY
24	United States District Judge	
25		
26		
27		
28		

United States District Court Northern District of California