Simril v. TVI, Inc.		Do
1		
	3	
1	JAMES KAWAHITO (SBN 234851) KAWAHITO LAW GROUP APC	
2	222 N. Sepulveda Blvd., Suite 2222	
3	El Segundo, California 90245 Telephone: (310) 746-5300	
4	Facsimile: (310) 593-2520 Email: jkawahito@kawahitolaw.com	
5	Law Offices of Sahag Majarian II	
6	Sahag Majarain II (SBN 146621) 18250 Ventura Blvd.	
7	Tarzana, CA 91356	*
8	Telephone: (818) 609-0807 Facsimile: (818) 609-0892	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Class Members	
10	Attorneys for Frankins and Class Memoers	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRI	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	CLINTON SIMRIL, individually, and on	CASE NO.: 16-CV-00817-SI
14	behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated,	[United States District Judge Susan Illston, Courtroom 1, 17 th Floor]
genal.	Plaintiff,	
15	vs.	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
16	TVI, Inc., and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	Date: August 21, 2017 Time: 4:00 p.m.
17	, 1, 110, 110, 110	Place: Courtroom 1, 17th Floor
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Simril v. TVI, Inc. 16-CV-00817-SI	Proposed Judgment
	The set was properties	Dockets.Justia

Doç. 45

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

On August 21, 2017, the Court heard Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs. The Court has considered the Motions and all supporting papers, and hereby finds and orders as follows:

- The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action, the Class
 Representative, the Class Members as defined in the Settlement Agreement and Defendants.
- 2. The Court grants final approval, for purposes of settlement only, of the Class as defined in the Settlement Agreement.
- 3. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement was the product of protracted, armslength negotiations between experienced counsel. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Class satisfied the applicable standards for certification under Federal Rule of Civil Procedures 23.
 - 4. This case is dismissed on the merits with prejudice.
- 5. Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees and litigation costs and expenses in this action is approved. Accordingly, Class Counsel is hereby awarded \$300,000.00 for attorneys' fees, and \$14,866.36 for reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses, which the Court finds were reasonably incurred in prosecution of this case. The further finds that the agreement between the parties concerning Class Counsel's fee and cost application is fair and reasonable.
- The enhancement award for the Class Representative in the amount of \$7,000 is approved and ordered to be paid.
- 7. The \$30,000 payment for class administration services to CPT Group, Inc. for its work in handling the administration of the Settlement in this case is ordered to be paid.
- 8. The Court approves of the \$5,000 payment in satisfaction of Plaintiff and Class Members' PAGA claims. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the \$3,750 payment to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency is ordered to be paid. The remaining \$1,250 shall be distributed to Class Members pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 9. The Court shall have exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over this matter for the purposes of supervising the implementation, enforcement, construction, administration, and

- 1			
1	interpretation of the Settlement Agreement and this Judgment.		
2	10. The parties are ordered to carry out the distribution of the Gross Settlement		
3	Amount as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.		
4	11. This document shall constitute a judgment for purposes of Rule 59 of the Federal		
5	Rules of Civil Procedure.		
6	12. Upon entry of this Judgment and the release of the Gross Settlement Amount to the		
7	claims administrator in this case, Plaintiffs and Class Members shall be deemed to have released		
8	all claims at issue in this lawsuit as set forth in Paragraph 32, 33, and 34 of the Settlement		
9	Agreement between the Parties.		
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
11			
12	Dated: August 21 , 2017		
13	United States District Judge Susan Illston		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	-2- Simril v TVI Inc		

Simril v. TVI, Inc. 16-CV-00817-SI

Proposed Judgment