

1 DOUGLAS E. LUMISH (Bar No. 183863)
 doug.lumish@lw.com
 2 GABRIEL S. GROSS (Bar No. 254672)
 gabe.gross@lw.com
 3 PATRICIA YOUNG (Bar No. 291265)
 patricia.young@lw.com
 4 RAVI ANTANI (Bar No. 312613)
 ravi.antani@lw.com
 5 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
 140 Scott Drive
 6 Menlo Park, California 94025
 Telephone: +1.650.328.4600
 7 Facsimile: +1.650.463.2600

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff CODEXIS, INC.

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14 CODEXIS, INC.

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 ENZYMEWORKS, INC., a California
 corporation, ENZYMEWORKS, INC., a
 18 Chinese corporation a/k/a SUZHOU
 HANMEI BIOTECHNOLOGY CO. LTD
 19 d/b/a ENZYMEWORKS, INC. (CHINA),
 JUNHUA TAO, an individual, and
 20 ANDREW TAO, an individual

21 Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:16-cv-00826-WHO

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER
 TO CONTINUE THE DEADLINE FOR
 CODEXIS'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS
 OMNIBUS MOTION TO COMPEL
 DISCOVERY PURSUANT TO RULE 37 AND
 COURT ORDERS (DKT. NO. 166) AND TO
 VACATE THE DEADLINE FOR EXPERT
 REBUTTAL REPORTS**

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1(a), 6-1(b) and 6-2, Plaintiff Codexis, Inc. (“Codexis”),
2 and Defendants EnzymeWorks, Inc. (U.S.), EnzymeWorks, Inc. (China), Junhua Tao, and Andrew
3 Tao (collectively, “Defendants”), by and through their counsel, hereby agree and stipulate:

4 WHEREAS, the Court ordered the parties to file omnibus motions to compel discovery by
5 December 13, 2017, oppositions by December 27, 2017, and replies by January 3, 2018, and set
6 the hearing date for the motions for January 17, 2018 at 2:00 p.m. (*see* Dkt. Nos. 159, 160);

7 WHEREAS, on December 13, 2017, Codexis filed its Omnibus Motion to Compel Discov-
8 ery Pursuant to Rule 37 and Court Orders (“Motion”);

9 WHEREAS, on December 27, 2017, Defendants filed their Opposition to Codexis’s Om-
10 nibus Motion to Compel Discovery Pursuant to Rule 37 and Court Orders (“Opposition”);

11 WHEREAS, upon stipulation of the parties because of a scheduling conflict, the Court has
12 continued the hearing date for Codexis’s Motion from January 17, 2018 to January 24, 2018 (Dkt.
13 No. 173);

14 WHEREAS, the deadline for Codexis’s Reply In Support Of Its Omnibus Motion to Com-
15 pel Discovery Pursuant to Rule 37 and Court Orders (“Reply”) was originally set for January 3,
16 2018;

17 WHEREAS, on January 2, 2018, Codexis’s co-lead counsel with primary responsibility for
18 the Reply had an urgent family emergency requiring immediate attention arise, and Codexis’s as-
19 sociate with additional responsibility for the Reply also had an illness arise;

20 WHEREAS, the parties’ counsel have met and conferred and stipulated to extending the
21 deadline for Codexis’s Reply by one week;

22 WHEREAS, continuing the deadline for Codexis’s Reply by one week would still allow
23 two weeks between the Reply and the hearing date, as originally scheduled;

24 WHEREAS, the deadline for Rebuttal Expert Reports is January 19, 2018, and the Expert
25 Discovery Cutoff is February 16, 2018 (*see* Dkt. No. 157 at 11);

26 WHEREAS, the parties are in the process of negotiating a settlement, and the parties’ coun-
27 sel have met and conferred and stipulated to vacating the deadline for Rebuttal Expert Reports,
28

1 and to meeting and conferring as needed to agree upon a mutually suitable deadline if the case fails
2 to settle;

3 NOW, THEREFORE, THE PARTIES HEREBY AGREE AND STIPULATE to, and re-
4 quest a Court order, (1) continuing the deadline for Codexis's Reply In Support of Its Omnibus
5 Motion to Compel by one week to January 10, 2018 pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1(b) and 6-2,
6 and (2) vacating the deadline for Rebuttal Expert Reports pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1(a) until
7 such later date as the parties agree upon.

8 Dated: January 3, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

9 By /s/ Gabriel S. Gross
10 Gabriel S. Gross
11 Attorneys for Plaintiff CODEXIS, INC.
12

13 Dated: January 3, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

LILAW INC.

14
15
16 By /s/ J. James Li
17 Attorneys for Defendants EN-
18 ZYMEWORKS, INC. (U.S.), EN-
19 ZYMEWORKS, INC.
20 (China), JUNHUA TAO, and ANDREW
21 TAO

22 **ATTESTATION**

23 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that the concurrence in the filing of
24 this document has been obtained from the other signatory, which shall serve in lieu of his signa-
25 ture.

26 Dated: January 3, 2018

27 By /s/ Gabriel S. Gross
28 Gabriel S. Gross

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. The deadline for Codexis's Reply In Support Of Its Omnibus Motion to Compel Discovery Pursuant to Rule 37 and Court Orders (Dkt. No. 166) is hereby reset to January 10, 2018, and the deadline for Expert Rebuttal Reports is hereby vacated, and the parties will meet and confer to reset it to a later date as needed.

Dated: January 4, 2018



THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ORRICK
United States District Court Judge

US-DOCS\98053414.1