1	DOUGLAS E. LUMISH (Bar No. 183863) doug.lumish@lw.com		
2	GABRIEL S. GROSS (Bar No. 254672) gabe.gross@lw.com		
3	PATRICIA YOUNG (Bar No. 291265) patricia.young@lw.com		
4	LINFONG TZENG (Bar No. 281798) linfong.tzeng@lw.com		
5	JIE WANG (Bar No. 306395)		
6	jie.wang@lw.com LATHAM & WATKINS LLP		
7	140 Scott Drive Menlo Park, California 94025		
8	Telephone: +1.650.328.4600 Facsimile: +1.650.463.2600		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff CODEXIS, INC.		
10	Auomeys for Flammin CODEAIS, INC.		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13			
14			
15	CODEXIS, INC.	CASE NO. 3:16-cv-00826-WHO	
16	Plaintiff,	CONSENT JUDGMENT OF PATENT	
17	v.	INFRINGEMENT	
18	ENZYMEWORKS, INC., a California		
19	corporation, ENZYMEWORKS, INC., a Chinese corporation a/k/a SUZHOU		
20	HANMEI BIOTECHNOLOGY CO. LTD d/b/a ENZYMEWORKS, INC. (CHINA),		
21	JUNHUA TAO, an individual, and ANDREW TAO, an individual		
22	Defendants.		
23			
23			
25	The parties having agreed to a settlement of the claims between them, and having		
26	stipulated to entry of this Consent Judgment, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND		
27	DECREED that:		
28			
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Attorneys At Law Sillicon Valley		CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. 3:16-CV-00826-WHO	

1	1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the above-captioned action		
2	and personal jurisdiction over the parties, and venue is proper in this District. This Court retains		
3	jurisdiction for the purpose of executing and enforcing the terms of this Consent Judgment.		
4	2. Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff Codexis, Inc. ("Codexis") against		
5	Defendants EnzymeWorks, Inc. (U.S.) and Suzhou Hanmei Biotechnology Co. Ltd, d/b/a		
6	EnzymeWorks, Inc. (China) (collectively, "EnzymeWorks") (collectively, the "Corporate		
7	Defendants") on Counts I through X of the Second Amended Complaint in the above-captioned		
8	action as follows:		
9	a EnzymeWorks has infringed U.S. Patent Nos. 8,088,610; 8,415,127;		
10	7,820,421; 8,071,347; 8,227,229; 8,293,507; 8,470,564; 8,852,900; 8,932,838; and		
11	9,133,445 (the "Patent-in-Suit").		
12	b. The Patents-in-Suit are not invalid.		
13	3. This Consent Judgment is final, enforceable, and non-appealable.		
14	4. Each party will bear its own fees, costs, and expenses.		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 Latham∞watkins⊳			
ATTORNEYS AT LAW SILICON VALLEY	CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. 3:16-CV-00826-WHO		

1	Dated: February 5, 2018	Respectfully submitted,	
2			
3		LATHAM & WATKINS LLP	
4	By	<u>/s/ Gabriel S. Gross</u>	
5		Douglas E. Lumish Gabriel S. Gross Patricia Young	
6		Linfong Tzeng Jie Wang	
7		Attorneys for Plaintiff CODEXIS, INC.	
8		Automeys for Frankin CODEAIS, INC.	
9	Dated: February 5, 2018	Respectfully submitted,	
10	Ву	<u>/s/ J. James Li</u>	
11		J. James Li Tanu Abdallahi	
12		Tony Abdollahi Andy Pierz	
13		Attorneys for Defendants JUNHUA TAO,	
14	ANDRÉW TAO, ENZYMEWORKS, INC., and ENZYMEWORKS, INC. (CHINA) a/k/a		
15		SUZHOU HANMEI BIOTECHNOLOGY CO. LTD dba ENZYMEWORKS, INC. (CHINA)	
16			
17	ATTESTATION		
18	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that the concurrence in the filing of		
19	this document has been obtained from the other signatory.		
20			
21	Dated: February 5, 2018		
22	Ву	By <u>/s/ Gabriel S. Gross</u> Gabriel S. Gross	
23			
24			
25		<u>DER</u>	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	V. MOD	
27	Dated: February 6, 2018	Gebruary 6, 2018 THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ORRICK	
28	United States District Court Judge		
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Attorneys At Law Silicon Valley		CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. 3:16-CV-00826-WHO	