1 2 3 4 5 6	DOUGLAS E. LUMISH (Bar No. 183863) doug.lumish@lw.com GABRIEL S. GROSS (Bar No. 254672) gabe.gross@lw.com PATRICIA YOUNG (Bar No. 291265) patricia.young@lw.com JIE WANG (Bar No. 306395) jie.wang@lw.com LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 140 Scott Drive Menlo Park, California 94025	
7	Telephone: +1.650.328.4600 Facsimile: +1.650.463.2600	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff CODEXIS, INC.	
9	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12		
13		
14	CODEXIS, INC.	CASE NO. 3:16-cv-00826-WHO
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED ORDER RE: DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED
16	v.	INFORMATION
17 18	ENZYMEWORKS, INC., a California corporation, ENZYMEWORKS, INC., a Chinese corporation, and JUNHUA TAO, an	
19	individual,	
20	Defendants.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
2425		
25		
2526		

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I. **GENERAL PRINCIPLES**

This Order supplements all other discovery rules and orders. It streamlines Electronically Stored Information ("ESI") production to promote a "just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of this action, as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 1."

The parties, by and through their respective counsel of record, stipulate that the following

The Order may be modified in the Court's discretion or by stipulation. The parties shall jointly submit any proposed modifications within 30 days after the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 Conference.

As in all cases, costs may be shifted for disproportionate ESI production requests pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26. The parties have read and will comply with the District's E-Discovery Guidelines.

II. **PRESERVATION**

The parties acknowledge that they have an obligation to take reasonable and proportional steps to preserve discoverable information in their possession, custody or control. With respect to preservation of ESI, the parties agree as follows:

Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the parties shall not be required to modify the procedures used by them in the ordinary course of business to back-up and archive data; provided, however, that the parties shall preserve all discoverable ESI in their possession, custody or control. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the following categories of ESI need not be preserved:

- 1. Random access memory (RAM), temporary files, or other ephemeral data that are difficult to preserve without disabling the operating system.
- 2. On-line access data such as temporary internet files, history, cache, cookies, and the like.
- 3. Data in metadata fields that are frequently updated automatically, such as lastopened dates (see also Section V).

SILICON VALLEY

- 4. Back-up data that are substantially duplicative of data that are more accessible elsewhere.
 - 5. Server, system or network logs.
- 6. Data remaining from systems no longer in use that is unintelligible on the systems in use.
- 7. Electronic data (e.g. email, calendars, contact data, and notes) sent to or from mobile devices (e.g., iPhone, iPad, Android, and Blackberry devices), provided that a copy of all such electronic data is routinely saved elsewhere (such as on a server, laptop, desktop computer, or "cloud" storage).

III. PRODUCTION OF E-MAILS

General ESI production requests under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 34 and 45 shall not include email or other forms of electronic correspondence (collectively "email"). To obtain email parties must propound specific email production requests.

Email production requests shall only be propounded for specific issues, rather than general discovery of a product or business.

Email production requests shall be phased to occur after the parties have exchanged initial disclosures and basic documentation about the patents, the prior art, the accused instrumentalities, and the relevant finances. While this provision does not require the production of such information, the Court encourages prompt and early production of this information to promote efficient and economical streamlining of the case.

Email production requests shall identify the custodian, search terms, and time frame. The parties shall cooperate to identify the proper custodians, proper search terms and proper timeframe as set forth in the Guidelines.

Each requesting party shall identify no more than five (5) custodians and no more than ten (10) search terms per custodian per party. Patent numbers and their variants identifying the ten (10) asserted patents will be counted as a single search term. The parties may jointly agree to modify these limits without the Court's leave. The Court shall consider contested requests for additional custodians or search terms upon showing a distinct need based on the size,

1

complexity, and issues of this specific case.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

IV. **PRODUCTION FORMATS**

additional discovery.

17

The parties agree that each of their document productions shall comply with the following requirements:

18 19

A. **Searchable Documents**

20 21

If a document is searchable in its native format, the producing party will produce it in a searchable form.

Optical character recognition (OCR) data will be provided with images of hard copy

The parties agree to meet and confer in good faith about the efficacy of the search terms.

The search terms shall be narrowly tailored to particular issues. Indiscriminate terms, such as the

producing company's name or its product name, are inappropriate unless combined with

narrowing search criteria that sufficiently reduce the risk of overproduction. A conjunctive

combination of multiple words or phrases (e.g., "computer" and "system") narrows the search

and shall count as a single search term. A disjunctive combination of multiple words or phrases

(e.g., "computer" or "system") broadens the search, and thus each word or phrase shall count as a

separate search term unless they are variants of the same word, which also applies to translations.

Use of narrowing search criteria (e.g., "and," "but not," "w/x") is encouraged to limit the

production and shall be considered when determining whether to shift costs for disproportionate

discovery. Should a party serve email production requests with search terms beyond the limits

agreed to by the parties or granted by the Court pursuant to this paragraph, this shall be

considered in determining whether any party shall bear all reasonable costs caused by such

22

B. **Hard Copy Documents**

23

24

25

26

27

28

provided (one TXT file for each document). The documents should be logically unitized to preserve page breaks between documents and otherwise allow separate documents to be

documents to the extent such OCR data is in the possession of the producing party or its counsel;

provided, however, that the producing Party is not required to OCR hard copy documents as part

of its document production. If documents are OCR'd, document level TXT files will be

identified.

2 |

1

C. <u>Electronic Documents</u>

3

document text level files, rather than embedded text files, and the extracted text will not be in the

Electronic documents will be produced with extracted text. The extracted text shall be in

5

database load file.

67

D. <u>Text-searchable or OCR Format</u>

8

To the extent a producing Party provides electronically-produced files in text-searchable or OCR format, the receiving Party accepts the searchable portion of the files "as is," and the

9

producing Party accepts no liability as to the accuracy of searches conducted in such files.

10

text-searchable or OCR files is Unicode (UTF-8), which will be provided when available.

If there are any Chinese, Japanese, or Korean type of characters, the preferred format for

11 12

Nothing in this Order requires a producing Party to generate OCR files or to convert text-

13

searchable files to another format.

14

E. <u>Digital Image Files</u>

15

Scanning should be single-page black-and-white TIFF images (group iv / 300 dpi).

16

Therefore, whether an electronic document is imaged or a paper document is imaged, both will be the same format. PDF files will be produced with document level text files, instead of

1718

embedded text. Files shall be produced with a load file containing the following information in

19

the following mutually agreed upon manner:

20

1. Beginning Document Bates Number. NOTE: The Bates numbers will have

21

sufficient leading zeros (at least 7) and no spaces or symbols (e.g., WA0000001);

22

2. Ending Document Bates Number;

23

3. Beginning Attachment Bates Number;

24

4. Ending Attachment Bates Number;

25

5. File Extension; and

26

6. Confidentiality Designation.

27

F. <u>Color Images</u>

28

The producing party may produce color documents as black and white documents with

the option to obtain color documents from the producing party if the receiving party specifically requests color copies of the documents and upon a showing of good cause by the requesting party. For the avoidance of doubt, good cause includes, but is not limited to, the following: (a) the documents include schematics, diagrams, graphs, charts, etc.; (b) the documents are unclear or illegible; or (c) the color version of the document serves to differentiate the information presented in the document. Nothing in this provision precludes a party from voluntarily producing any document in color.

G. Native Files

If any documents are produced in native format, a database load file will be provided that includes a NATIVE FILE field path. Native files should be produced in a separate folder (e.g., NATIVES\001 and NATIVES\002) if possible. Excel spreadsheets and other file types not readily reduced to usable PDF or TIFF format may be produced in native format. The parties each reserve the right to request that each Excel spreadsheet be produced in native form. Should any party request any other document be produced in its native electronic format, the Parties agree to meet and confer in good faith to determine whether production of the document is reasonably necessary and appropriate.

H. Load Files

At a minimum, DAT and OPT load files shall be provided, including for PDF files.

I. Paper and PDF Copies of Native Electronic Documents

To the extent that a Party prepares paper or PDF (or the equivalent) copies of any electronic documents produced in their native format for any purpose, including, but not limited to, copies for use and review by counsel, copies to provide to expert witnesses, court filings, pleadings, expert reports, or deposition or trial exhibits, the Bates number and the applicable confidentiality designation must be replicated on each page of the paper copies.

V. METADATA

The parties agree that only the following metadata fields need be produced: document type; custodian; author/from; recipient/to, cc and bcc; title/subject; file name and size; date and time created, sent, and/or received; and hash value. Although it is presumed generally that the

above list of metadata fields will be provided, the list of metadata fields is intended to be flexible and may be changed by agreement of the parties, particularly in light of advances and changes in technology, vendor and business practices. Metadata shall be produced as DAT files or other mutually agreeable format.

All metadata files shall indicate the beginning unique Bates Number and final unique Bates Number of each document. For any documents that have attachments and/or affixed notes, the beginning unique Bates Number and final unique Bates Number of such attachments and/or affixed notes shall be included in the entry for that document in the metadata file.

VI. DOCUMENTS PROTECTED FROM DISCOVERY

Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d), the production of a privileged or work-product protected document, whether inadvertent or otherwise, is not a waiver of privilege or protection from discovery in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. For example, the mere production of privileged or work-product-protected documents in this case as part of a mass production is not itself a waiver in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding.

The parties need not place on their privilege logs any communications between a party and counsel (and their support staffs) that has appeared on behalf of that party in this litigation, or any privileged materials that were created in connection with this litigation after the filing of the Complaint on February 19, 2016. Communications may be identified on a privilege log by category, rather than individually, if appropriate.

VII. SOURCE CODE/SOFTWARE MATERIALS

This Stipulation does not govern the format for production of source code/software material, which shall be produced pursuant to the relevant portions of the Protective Order.

VIII. MODIFICATION

This Stipulated Order may be modified by stipulation of the parties or by the Court for good cause shown.

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED, through Counsel of Record.	
2	Dated: August 29, 2016 By: /s/ Gabriel S. Gross	
3	Gabriel S. Gross Counsel for Codexis, Inc.	
4	Counsel for Coucais, inc.	
5	Dated: August 29, 2016 By: <u>/s/ Ezekiel L. Rauscher</u>	
6	Ezekiel L. Rauscher Counsel for Defendants	
7		
8		
9	<u>ATTESTATION</u>	
10	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that the concurrence in the filing of	
11	this document has been obtained from the other signatory.	
12		
13	Dated: August 29, 2016	
14	By <u>/s/ Gabriel S. Gross</u>	
15	Gabriel S. Gross	
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17	W. MOO	
18	Dated: 8/30/2016	
19	HON. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE WILLIAM H. ORRICK III	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		