1 2 3 4 5 6 7	GLENN D. POMERANTZ (SBN 112503) glenn.pomerantz@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 355 South Grand Avenue, Thirty-Fifth Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 683-9100 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702 KELLY M. KLAUS (SBN 161091) kelly.klaus@mto.com ACHYUT J. PHADKE (SBN 261567) achyut.phadke@mto.com ADAM I. KAPLAN (SBN 268182)	klmarsh ROGEI roger.m ALEXA alex.wh BRYAI 560 Mi San Fra Tel: (41 Attorne	E MARSHALL (SBN 277092) hall@bryancave.com R MYERS (SBN 146164) hyers@bryancave.com ANDRA WHITWORTH (SBN 303046) hitworth@bryancave.com N CAVE LLP ssion Street, 25 th Floor ancisco, California 94105 15) 675-3400 /Fax: (415) 675-3434 hys for Defendant National Association thre Owners	
14	adam.kaplan@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 560 Mission Street, Twenty-Seventh Floor San Francisco, California 94105-2907 Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077 Attorneys for Defendants Motion Picture Association of America, Inc., Walt Disney Studios Motion Pictures, Paramount Pictures Corporation, Sony Pictures Entertainment Inc., Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation, Universal City Studios LLC, and Warner Bros. Entertainment Inc.	ds@sch LAW C P.C. 55 Wes Chicage Tel: (31	D SCHACHMAN (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) nachmanlaw.com DFFICES OF DAVID SCHACHMAN, et Monroe Street, Suite 2970 o, Illinois 60603 12) 427-9500/Fax: (312) 268-2425 <i>tys for Plaintiff Timothy Forsyth</i>	
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
16	5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	TIMOTHY FORSYTH, individually and on beha class of similarly situated individuals, Plaintiff, vs. MOTION PICTURE ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA, INC., WALT DISNEY STUDIOS MOTION PICTURES, PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, SONY PICTURES ENTERTAINMENT INC., TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX FILM CORPORATION, UNIVERSAL CITY STUDIOS LLC, WARNER BROS. ENTERTAINMENT INC., and NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF THEATRE OWNERS, Defendants.	alf of a	Case No. 3:16-cv-00935-RS STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE [1] DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF SPECIAL MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFFS' ACTION PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA'S ANTI-SLAPP STATUTE AND MOTION TO DISMISS, AND [2] HEARING DATE FOR SPECIAL MOTION TO STRIKE Judge: Hon. Richard Seeborg	
	30376437.1		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 3:16-cv-00935-RS Dockets.Justia.	

In support of their joint stipulation regarding the hearing on and reply brief in support of
 Defendants' special motion to strike and motion to dismiss, Plaintiff Timothy Forsyth and
 Defendants Motion Picture Association of America Inc., Walt Disney Studios Motion Pictures,
 Paramount Pictures Corporation, Sony Pictures Entertainment Inc., Twentieth Century Fox Film
 Corporation, Universal City Studios LLC, Warner Brothers Entertainment Inc., and the National
 Association of Theatre Owners, by and through their undersigned counsel of record, recite as
 follows:

A. On April 29, 2016, Defendants filed joint motions to strike Plaintiff's entire action
pursuant to California's anti-SLAPP statute, in Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16, and to dismiss
Plaintiff's entire action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), and Defendant
National Association of Theater Owners filed a supplemental brief in support of same.

B. Pursuant to the parties' May 15, 2016 stipulation (ECF No. 39), and the Court's May
17, 2016 order approving that stipulation (ECF No. 40), Plaintiff's Opposition brief was due on or
before July 15, 2016, and was not to exceed 40 pages of text (rather than the 25-page limit under
the local rules).

C. Also pursuant to the parties' May 15, 2016 stipulation, the parties agreed that,
following the filing of Plaintiff's Opposition, the parties would meet and confer regarding the date
by which Defendants would file their Reply brief(s), the page limit for the Reply brief(s), and a
hearing date for the motions. The parties agreed in the stipulation that they would propose a
hearing date no earlier than three weeks after the filing of the Reply brief(s).

21 D. The parties met and conferred by telephone and email regarding these issues over 22 several days, and agreed that Defendants' deadline to file a Reply in support of their motion to 23 strike and motion to dismiss should be September 15, 2016. The additional time is necessary 24 based on (i) the number of issues that Defendants are required to address in replying to Plaintiff's 25 Opposition and (ii) Defendants' counsel's work and vacation schedules in August. Upon 26 reviewing the Court's calendar, the parties also agreed that October 27, 2016, was the most 27 mutually convenient available hearing date. This date was selected because all parties are 28 available on that date, and the Court is not available on October 6 and 20. The parties further

- 1 -

1	agreed that, in order to address Plaintiff's Opposition, Defendants' Reply brief(s) should be		
2	allowed to exceed the 15-page limit set by local rules, but shall not exceed 25 pages of text. While		
3	the Defendants will endeavor to file a single Reply brief, to the extent that they file more than one		
4	brief, the collective pages will not exceed 25.		
5	E. The parties also conferred and agreed that, for the sake of efficiency, the upcoming case		
6	management conference should be continued to the same date and time as the hearing on		
7	Defendants' motion to strike and motion to dismiss. The case management conference is currently		
8	scheduled for September 22, 2016. (ECF No. 41.)		
9	NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate that, subject to the Court's approval:		
10	1. Defendants' special motion to strike Plaintiff's action pursuant to California's anti-		
11	SLAPP statute, in Code of Civil Procedure § 425.16, and Defendant's motion to dismiss Plaintiff's		
12	action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), will be heard on October 27, 2016, at		
13	1:30 p.m.;		
14	2. Defendants' Reply brief(s) is due on or before September 15, 2016, and shall not		
15	exceed 25 combined pages of text (exclusive of caption page and tables);		
16	3. The case management conference currently scheduled for September 22, 2016, shall be		
17	continued to October 27, 2016, at 1:30 p.m.		
18			
19	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
20	DATED: July 29, 2016 LAW OFFICES OF DAVID SCHACHMAN, P.C.		
21			
22	By: <u>/s/ David Schachman</u> DAVID SCHACHMAN		
23	Attorneys for Plaintiff Timothy Forsyth		
24	Automeys for Flamunt Thilotity Porsyul		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	30376437.1 - 2 - STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 3:16-cv-00935-RS		

1	DATED: July 29, 2016	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
2		
3		By: /s/ Kelly M. Klaus KELLY M. KLAUS
4		Attorneys for Defendants Motion Picture Association of
5		America, Inc., Walt Disney Studios Motion Pictures, Paramount Pictures Corporation, Sony Pictures
6		Entertainment Inc., Twentieth Century Fox Film
7		Corporation, Universal City Studios LLC, and Warner Bros. Entertainment Inc.
8		
9	DATED: July 29, 2016	BRYAN CAVE LLP
10		
11		By: /s/ K. Lee Marshall
12		K. LEE MARSHALL
13		Attorneys for Defendant National Association of Theatre Owners
14		
15	THE COURT FINDS GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR THE PARTIES' STIPULATION AND ON	
16	THAT BASIS THE FOREGOING STIP	ULATION IS APPROVED AND IS SO ORDERED.
17	DATED: <u>8/8/16</u>	
18		Rihlsehr
19		HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
	30376437.1	- 3 - Case No. 3:16-cv-00935-RS