

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ETOPIA EVANS, *et al.*,

No. C 16-01030 WHA

Plaintiffs,

v.

**ORDER DENYING PRO
HAC VICE APPLICATION**

ARIZONA CARDINALS FOOTBALL
CLUB, LLC, *et al.*,

Defendants.

The *pro hac vice* application of Attorney Marla Axelrod (Dkt. No. 159) is **DENIED** for failing to comply with Local Rule 11-3. That rule requires an applicant to certify that “he or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States *Court* or of the highest *court* of another State or the District of Columbia, *specifying such bar*” (emphasis added). Filling out the *pro hac vice* form from the district court website such that it identifies only the state of bar membership — *e.g.*, “the bar of District of Columbia” — is inadequate under the rule because it fails to identify a specific court. While the application fee does not need to be paid again, the application cannot be processed until a corrected form is submitted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 19, 2017.


WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE