

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT,  
Defendant.

Case No. [16-cv-01059-VC](#) (PR)

**ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH  
PREJUDICE**

Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla is a state prisoner who filed this *pro se* complaint against the California Supreme Court challenging the validity of a state court criminal judgment. Bonilla has been disqualified from proceeding *in forma pauperis* under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) unless he is “under imminent danger of serious physical injury” at the time he filed his complaint. 28 U.S.C. 1915(g); *In re Steven Bonilla*, No. C 11-3180 CW (PR); *Bonilla v. Dawson*, No. C 13-0951 CW (PR).

The allegations in this complaint do not show that Bonilla was in imminent danger at the time of filing. Therefore, he may not proceed *in forma pauperis*. Furthermore, even if he pays the filing fee he may not proceed because, even liberally construed, the allegations do not state a claim for which relief may be granted and amendment would be futile. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). Moreover, this lawsuit is barred under *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477, 486-87 (1994). Accordingly, the case is dismissed with prejudice.

The clerk shall enter a separate judgment and close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 10, 2016

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
VINCE CHHABRIA  
United States District Judge