26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	FERNANDO YATES,	Case No. <u>16-cv-01077-MEJ</u>
8	Plaintiff,	ORDER RE: JOINT DISCOVERY
9	v.	LETTER BRIEF
10	WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED	Re: Dkt. No. 119
11	SCHOOL DISTRICT,  Defendant.	
12	Defendant.	
13	Defendant has shown good cause for deposing Rhem Bell after the close of discovery. Se	
14	Jt. Ltr. Br., Dkt. No. 119. Plaintiff does not raise any objections, and does not argue (much less	
15	show) he will be prejudiced if Defendant is allowed to depose the witness. See id. Before	
16	scheduling Mr. Bell's deposition, Defendant and Plaintiff shall confer and confirm that Plaintiff	
17	intends to attend the deposition. If Plaintiff so confirms, Defendant shall schedule the deposition	
18	for a time during which Plaintiff can attend (after 1:30 p.m. on Monday-Friday). If, after	
19	confirming his intention to attend the deposition, Plaintiff does not do so, Defendant shall notify	
20	the Court and the Court may order Plaintiff to reimburse Defendant for any time its counsel spent	
21	scheduling the deposition at Plaintiff's convenience or waiting for Plaintiff to appear.	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	_
23	Dated: July 5, 2017	
24		
25		MARIA-ELENA JAMES United States Magistrate Judge