Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FERNANDO YATES,

Plaintiff,

v.

WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT.

Defendant.

Case No. 16-cv-01077-MEJ

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS PRAYER FOR **PUNITIVE DAMAGES**

Re: Dkt. No. 67

Plaintiff Fernando Yates asserts claims under the Americans with Disabilities Act and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 against one Defendant: West Contra Costa Unified School District ("Defendant"). See Second Am. Compl. ("SAC"), Dkt. No. 52. Defendant moves to dismiss Plaintiff's prayer for punitive damages on the ground that Defendant is a public entity. Mot., Dkt. No. 67.

Punitive damages are not available against a governmental entity in California under the statutes that form the basis of Plaintiff's claims. See Guess v. Contra Costa Cmty. Coll. Dist., 2016 WL 5930628, at *9 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 12, 2016) ("[P]unitive damages are not available against a public entity.") (citing Cal. Gov't Code § 818; Westlands Water Dist. v. Amoco Chem. Co., 953 F.2d 1109, 1113 (9th Cir. 1991); 42 U.S.C. § 1981a(b)(1) (punitive damages not available against public entity under Title VII)); Lopez v. Cty. of Los Angeles, 2016 WL 54123, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 5, 2016) ("[P]unitive damages are not available against public entities, though they are available against individuals"); Leglu v. Cty. of Santa Clara, 2014 WL 4100599, at *13 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 20, 2014) (barring Plaintiff in Title VII case from recovering punitive damages pursuant to Cal. Gov't Code § 818).

The Court according **GRANTS** Defendant's Motion to dismiss Plaintiff's request for punitive damages.

United States District Court Northern District of California

Defendant shall answer the SAC no later than February $24,\,2017.$

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 10, 2017

MARIA-ELENA JAMES United States Magistrate Judge