1			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JONATHAN K. LEVINE (SBN: 220289) ELIZABETH C. PRITZKER (SBN: 146267) BETHANY L. CARACUZZO (SBN: 190687) PRITZKER LEVINE LLP 180 Grand Avenue, Suite 1390 Telephone: (415) 692-0772 Facsimile: (415) 366-6110 Email: jkl@pritzkerlevine.com		
9	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11			
12			
13	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	Case No: 3:16-cv-01386-EMC	
14	COMMISSION,		
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
16	vs.	ORDER MODIFYING BRIEFING AND	
	JOHN V. BIVONA; SADDLE RIVER	HEARING SCHEDULE FOR THE JOINT MOTION OF THE SEC AND THE	
17	ADVISORS, LLC; SRA MANAGEMENT LLC; FRANK GREGORY MAZZOLA,	RECEIVER FOR APPROVAL OF PROPOSED JOINT DISTRIBUTION	
18	Defendants, and	PLAN	
19	Berendants, and	Data: Avguet 21, 2017	
20	SRA I LLC; SRA II LLC; SRA III LLC;	Date: August 31, 2017 Time: 1:30 p.m.	
21	FELIX INVESTMENTS, LLC; MICHELE J. MAZZOLA; ANNE BIVONA; CLEAR	Courtroom: 5 Judge: Hon. Edward M. Chen	
22	SAILING GROUP IV LLC; CLEAR SAILING GROUP V LLC,		
23	Relief Defendants.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	G T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T		

8

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 **28**

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING BRIEFING AND HEARING SCHEDULE RE APPROVAL OF PROPOSED JOINT DISTRIBUTION PLAN

Counsel for (i) plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), (ii) defendants John V. Bivona and Saddle River Advisors, LLC, (iii) the Receiver for the receivership entities, relief defendants and third-party affiliated entities, and (iv) the SRA Funds Investor Group¹, along with pro per defendant Frank G. Mazzola and pro per relief defendant Michele J. Mazzola, hereby submit this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to modify in part the briefing and hearing schedule on the Commission's and the Receiver's pending joint motion for approval of a proposed joint distribution plan in this action.

STIPULATION

On May 31, 2017, the Commission and the Receiver, with the agreement of the defendants and relief defendants, proposed a schedule to the Court for the briefing and hearing on the Commission's and the Receiver's anticipated motion for approval of a proposed distribution plan for the assets of the receivership estate. The proposed schedule called for the motion to be filed by June 29, 2017, with any opposition papers, objections or proposed amendments filed by July 27, 2017, and reply papers filed by August 17, 2017. The hearing on the motion was proposed for August 31, 2017. See Dkt. No. 186. The proposed schedule was adopted by the Court on June 6, 2017. See Dkt. No. 191.

On June 2, 2017, Pritzker Levine LLP filed a Notice of Appearance and Intent to be Heard on behalf of 92 individuals and entities (collectively the "SRA Funds Investor Group") that have purchased and continue to own membership interests in one or more of the SRA Funds that are at issue in this litigation. See Dkt. No. 189. On June 22, 2017, a Supplemental Notice of Appearance was filed on behalf of 27 additional individuals and entities that joined the SRA Funds Investor Group subsequent to the June 2, 2017 Notice of Appearance being filed.² See Dkt. No. 193.

¹ For the purposes of this Stipulation only, the term "parties" refers to the Commission, Mr. Bivona and Saddle River Associates, the Receiver, and the SRA Funds Investor Group.

² The SRA Funds Investor Group currently includes 124 individuals and entities with about \$38.25 million still invested in the SRA Funds.

On June 29, 2017, the Commission and the Receiver filed their Joint Motion for Approval of the Proposed Joint Distribution Plan. See Dkt. Nos. 196 – 201.

Since the filing of the Joint Motion, counsel for the SRA Funds Investor Group has conferred with the Commission, the Receiver, and counsel for certain other parties to exchange and discuss information concerning the management and operation of the SRA Funds and the proposed joint distribution plan.³ The Commission and the Receiver have been fully cooperative with counsel for the SRA Funds Investor Group in the exchange of requested information. Counsel for the SRA Funds Investor Group has also been working with the individual members of the Group to analyze the Joint Motion and formulate a response on behalf of the Group.

In light of the volume of materials filed by the Commission and the Receiver in connection with the Joint Motion, the number of pre-IPO companies and investment funds involved for which information must be gathered and analyzed, the additional information still being analyzed and gathered by counsel to prepare a response to the Joint Motion, the number of investors in the SRA Funds Investor Group who must be consulted with respect to the response to the Joint Motion, and the complex nature of the distribution plan that the SRA Funds Investor Group is likely to propose to the Court as an alternative to the joint distribution plan proposed by the Commission and the Receiver⁴, additional time is needed by the SRA Funds Investor Group to prepare its response to the Joint Motion and to confer with the Commission and the Receiver about its alternative plan in advance of it being filed with the Court.

The parties have conferred and agree that in light of the foregoing facts, the SRA Funds Investor Group should have more time to submit its response to the joint distribution plan proposed

³ In addition, on July 20, 2017, the Receiver hosted a conference call for interested SRA investors to provide information about the Commission's and Receiver's proposed joint distribution plan.

⁴ The SRA Funds Investor Group is working on an alternative distribution plan that will allow investors to continue to maintain their investments in the pre-IPO companies on a going-forward basis, provide for the appointment of a new, independent manager for the funds (with an oversight board), and provide funds to pay accrued or future administrative fees of the receivership estate, along with other creditors.

in the pending Motion. The parties have conferred about how best to modify the schedule to provide the SRA Funds Investor Group with the time it needs to prepare and submit its response to the Joint Motion, while at the same time allowing some portions of the Joint Motion to move forward under the existing schedule.

The parties are in agreement, subject to Court approval, that the schedule and hearing on the Joint Motion should be bifurcated to allow the Court to make preliminary findings under the existing schedule while deferring to a later date any consideration of any proposed distribution plan or final action with respect to the status of the receivership entities and the management agreements in place to operate the SRA Funds. The parties believe that the Court's early consideration of the facts underlying the Joint Motion will assist all parties in their ongoing discussions about potential distribution plans that the Court may ultimately consider. The proposed bifurcated schedule would be as follows, subject to Court approval:

- 1. Any opposition or challenge to the Commission's and the Receiver's findings that (a) defendants commingled investor funds, (b) defendants diverted investor cash to themselves or otherwise violated provisions of the federal securities laws, and (c) defendants' conduct would justify the termination of the management agreements and the exclusion of defendants and certain specified insiders from recovery through the proposed distribution plan, must still be filed on or before July 27, 2017.⁵
- 2. If an opposition or challenge is filed, the Commission and the Receiver will still file their replies on or before August 17, 2017, and the Court will conduct a hearing on August 31, 2017, but only with respect to the three issues set forth in paragraph 1 above.

⁵ The SRA Funds Investor Group requests that the Court not take any action with respect to the management agreements until **after** all proposed distribution plans have been put before the Court, since the SRA Funds Investor Group's anticipated distribution plan will require that there be a management agreement in place on a going-forward basis. The Commission and the Receiver are agreeable to deferring final action on the management agreements until the proposed September 28, 2017 hearing.

Case No. 3:16-cy-01386-EMC

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	Stipu
10	
11	(a) de
12	(c) de
13	on or
14	
15	replie
16	respe
17	respe
18	
19	abov
20	
21	amen
22	filed

24

25

26

27

28

Partners, Inc.

Frank G. Mazzola (by email authorization)
Defendant Frank G. Mazzola, in pro per

Michelle J. Mazzola (by email authorization) Relief Defendants Michelle J. Mazzola, in pro per

[PROPOSED] ORDER

The Court hereby accepts the modified briefing and hearing schedule set forth in the Stipulation above and orders as follows:

- 1. Any opposition or challenge to the Commission's and the Receiver's findings that (a) defendants commingled investor funds, (b) defendants diverted investor cash to themselves, and (c) defendants' conduct would justify the termination of the management agreements, must be filed on or before July 27, 2017.
- 2. If an opposition or challenge is filed, the Commission and the Receiver will file their replies on or before August 17, 2017. The Court will conduct a hearing on August 31, 2017 with respect to the three issues set forth in paragraph 1 above. The Court will not take any action with respect to the management agreements or the receivership entities at the August 31, 2017 hearing.
- 3. If no opposition or challenge is filed on the three issues set forth in paragraph 1 above, the August 31, 2017 hearing date will be taken off calendar.
- 4. Any opposition papers, objections, alternative distribution plans or proposed amendments to the joint distribution plan proposed by the Commission and the Receiver shall be filed on or before August 24, 2017, with replies by the Commission and the Receiver to be filed on or before September 13, 2017.
- 5. A hearing will be held at 1:30 pm September 28, 2017 to consider (a) the Commission's and Receiver's proposed joint distribution plan, (b) any alternative distribution plans proposed by investors, (c) whether the management agreements with certain defendants should be

1	terminated and/or replaced, and (d) whether some or all of the receivership entities should be
2	dissolved or replaced.
3	SO ORDERED CIATED
4	SO ORDERED Dated: 7/25, 2017
5	Dated:, 2017 STIT IS SO ORDERED OF THE STITLE OF THE STATE OF
6	
7	Judge Edward M. Chen
8	
9	DISTRICT OF CONTROLLED
10	USI RICI
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	-7-
20	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING BRIEFING AND HEARING

SCHEDULE RE APPROVAL OF PROPOSED JOINT DISTRIBUTION PLAN

28

Case No. 3:16-cv-01386-EMC

ATTESTATION

-		
2	I, Jonathan K. Levine, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this	
3	document. In compliance with Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that all other signatories listed	
4	have concurred in this filing.	
5		
6	/s/ Jonathan K. Levine Jonathan K. Levine	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING BRIEFING AND HEARING	
∠ 0		

SCHEDULE RE APPROVAL OF PROPOSED JOINT DISTRIBUTION PLAN