Case No. 3:16-cv-01421-WHO

28

Defendants.

| 1 | Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4) and N.D. Cal. Local Rules 6-2, 7-12, and 16-2(e), | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | Plaintiff William Rushing ("Plaintiff") and Defendants Williams-Sonoma, Inc., Williams-Sonoma | | |
| 3 | DTC, Inc., Williams-Sonoma Advertising, Inc., Williams-Sonoma Stores, Inc., Pottery Barn, Inc., | | |
| 4 | Pottery Barn Kids, Inc., Pottery Barn Teen, Inc., and West Elm, Inc. (collectively "Defendants"), | | |
| 5 | by and through their respective counsel, stipulate as follows: | | |
| 6 | <u>RECITALS</u> | | |
| 7 | WHEREAS, on August 15, 2016, the Court issued an Order Granting in Part Motion to | | |
| 8 | Dismiss ("Order") and directed Plaintiff to file an amended complaint within 30 days of the Order, | | |
| 9 | <i>i.e.</i> , by September 14, 2016; | | |
| 10 | WHEREAS, the Initial Case Management Conference is currently scheduled for | | |
| 11 | September 13, 2016 (Dkt. 35); | | |
| 12 | WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendants have conferred and agree that continuing the Initial | | |
| 13 | Case Management Conference until after Plaintiff has filed his amended complaint pursuant to the | | |
| 14 | Order and Defendants have responded will not, at this early stage, have a significant effect on the | | |
| 15 | overall schedule for this case, and would be in the parties' best interests and in the interest of | | |
| 16 | judicial economy, as such a continuance would enable both the parties and the Court to focus | | |
| 17 | attention and resources on the issues that will proceed in the litigation. | | |
| 18 | WHEREAS, all previous time modifications in the case are as follows: | | |
| 19 | • on March 29, 2016, the parties stipulated to extend Defendants' time to respond to | | |
| 20 | the First Amended Complaint from March 30, 2016 to April 20, 2016; | | |
| 21 | • on May 5, 2016, pursuant to stipulation of the parties, the Court continued the June | | |
| 22 | 21, 2016 Case Management Conference to September 6, 2016; | | |
| 23 | • on June 23, 2016, the parties stipulated to extend Defendants' time to respond to | | |
| 24 | the Third Amended Complaint from June 23, 2016 to June 27, 2016; and | | |
| 25 | • on August 4, 2016, pursuant to stipulation of the parties, the Court continued the | | |
| 26 | September 6, 2016 Case Management Conference to September 13, 2016. | | |
| 27 | WHEREAS, Eric DiIulio attests that Kathryn Honecker concurs in filing this Stipulated | | |
| 28 | Request For Order Continuing September 13, 2016 Initial Case Management Conference. | | |

| 1 | THEREFORE, the parties hereb | THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate and request that the Court issue an order as | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2 | 2 follows: | | |
| 3 | Plaintiff shall file his amended complaint on September 14, 2016; | | |
| 4 | Defendants shall file a response on or before October 3, 2016; | | |
| 5 | If Defendants' response is an answer, the Initial Case Management | | |
| 6 | Conference shall be continued to November 1, 2016; and | | |
| 7 | 7 • If Defendants' re | If Defendants' response is a motion to dismiss, the Initial Case Management | |
| 8 | Conference shall be continued to the first available date at least 30 days | | |
| 9 | after the Court issues an order on Defendants' motion. | | |
| 10 | IT IS SO STIPULATED. | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | SE LAW GROUP, PC | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | D _v | /s/ Kathryn Honecker | |
| 15 | | Kathryn Honecker (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Lauren Nageotte (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) | |
| 16 | | Audra Petrolle (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) | |
| 17 | | -and- | |
| 18 | | George Richard Baker, Cal. Bar No. 224003 | |
| 19 | | BAKER LAW, PC 436 N. Stanley Avenue | |
| | | Los Angeles, California 90036 | |
| 20 | | Telephone: 323.452.9685 Email: richard@bakerlawpc.com | |
| 21 | | Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class | |
| 22 | Detect. Assessed 17, 2016. CIII | EPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP | |
| 23 | | STAND WOLLING RECITER & ITAM TOWLE | |
| 24 | ll By | /s/Eric J. DiIulio | |
| 25 | | P. Craig Cardon Benjamin O. Aigboboh | |
| 26 | | Eric J. DiIulio | |
| 27 | 27 | Attorneys for Defendants | |
| 28 | 28 | | |
| | | -3- Case No. 3:16-cv-01421-WHO | |
| | SMRH:478874885.2 | STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CONTINUING SEPT. 13 CMC | |

[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>August 23</u> , 2016

The Hon. William H. Orrick United States District Judge