1	ROSE LAW GROUP		
	KATHRYN HONECKER (admitted pro hac vice)		
2	AUDRA PETROLLE (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)		
3	7144 E Stetson Drive, Suite 300 Scottsdale, Arizona 85251		
4	Telephone: 480.505.3936		
_	Email: khonecker@roselawgroup.com		
5	apetrolle@roselawgroup.com		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class [A	Additional Counsel on Signa	ture Page]
7	SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTO	N LLP	
8	P. CRAIG CARDON, Cal. Bar No. 168646	201	
9	BENJAMIN O. AIGBOBOH, Cal. Bar No. 2685 ERIC J. DIIULIO, Cal. Bar No. 301439	031	
	Four Embarcadero Center, 17 th Floor		
10	San Francisco, California 94111-4109		
11	Telephone: 415.434.9100 Email: ccardon@sheppardmullin.com		
12	baigboboh@sheppardmullin.com		
13	ediiulio@sheppardmullin.com		
14	Attorneys for Defendants		
15		DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFO	UKNIA, SAN FKANCISU	O DIVISION
16	WILLIAM RUSHING, Individually and on	Case No. 3:16-cv-01421-	WHO
17	Behalf of all Others Similarly Situated,	Assigned to the Hon. Will	iam H. Orrick
18	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION	
19	V.		
20		STIPULATED REQUE	ST AND
	WILLIAMS-SONOMA, INC., a Delaware	02.2.2.11	
21	corporation, also d/b/a Williams-Sonoma, and Williams-Sonoma Home, Pottery Barn, PB	(1) EXTENDING DEAL	
22	Teen, and PB Dorm, Pottery Barn Kids,	TO FOURTH AM. ((2) SETTING DEADLI	,
23	Pottery Barn Baby, and West Elm;		AM. COMPLAINT;
	WILLIAMS-SONOMA DTC, INC., a California corporation; WILLIAMS-	(3) SETTING BRIEFIN	
24	SONOMA ADVERTISING, INC., a	ANTICIPATED MO AND	TION TO DISMISS;
25	California corporation; and DOES 1-30,	A NI	
26	Defendants.	Complaint Filed:	January 29, 2016
27		Action Removed:	March 23, 2016
28		4 th Am. Complaint Filed: Trial Date:	September 14, 2016 None Set
		Ca	se No. 3:16-cv-01421-WHO

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4) and Northern District of California Local Rules 6-1, 6-2, 7-12, and 16-2(e), Plaintiff William Rushing ("Plaintiff") and Defendants Williams-Sonoma, Inc., Williams-Sonoma DTC, Inc., and Williams-Sonoma Advertising, Inc. (collectively "Defendants"), by and through their respective counsel, hereby respectfully stipulate and jointly request that the Court extend Defendants' deadline to respond to Plaintiff's Fourth Amended Class Action Complaint ("Fourth Amended Complaint"); set the time for Defendants to respond to Plaintiff's proposed Fifth Amended Class Action Complaint ("Fifth Amended Complaint"); set a briefing schedule for Defendants' anticipated motion to dismiss; and continue the Case Management Conference currently set for November 8, 2016 ("CMC") until after ruling on Defendants' anticipated motion to dismiss.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed his Fourth Amended Complaint (Dkt. 43) on September 14, 2016.

WHEREAS, the deadline for Defendants to respond the Fourth Amended Complaint is currently October 3, 2016 (Dkt. 40).

WHEREAS, Plaintiff delivered an amended CLRA notice letter to Defendants on September 19, 2016 and Plaintiff plans to filed a Fifth Amended Complaint for the sole purpose of seeking permissible damages for the CLRA claim alleged in the Fourth Amended Complaint if Plaintiff's CLRA claim is not resolved within 30 days of Defendants' receipt of Plaintiff's September 19, 2016 letter in accordance with California Civil Code § 1782(b). For avoidance of doubt, Defendants do not waive their right to pursue any challenges to the Fourth Amended Complaint or Fifth Amended Complaint including, but not limited to, the addition of any damages claims under the CLRA.

WHEREAS, the Parties' agree that Plaintiff's Fifth Amended Complaint would render moot any response to the Fourth Amended Complaint filed by Defendants.

WHEREAS, on September 26, 2016, the Court scheduled the initial CMC in this matter for November 8, 2016 at 2:00 P.M. in Courtroom 2 (Doc. 46).

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendants have met and conferred and agree that Defendants' deadline to respond to the Fourth Amended Complaint should be extended until after Plaintiff files his anticipated Fifth Amended Complaint, agree to the terms of this stipulation and [proposed] order, and agree that continuing the CMC until after the Court has heard and ruled on Defendants' motion to dismiss the Fourth Amended Complaint or Fifth Amended Complaint will not, at this early stage, have a significant effect on the overall schedule for this case and would be in the parties' best interests and in the interest of judicial economy by allowing them to focus their attention and resources on the issues that will proceed in the litigation.

WHEREAS, all previous time modifications in the case are as follows:

- on March 29, 2016, the parties stipulated to extend Defendants' time to respond to the First Amended Complaint from March 30, 2016 to April 20, 2016;
- on May 5, 2016, pursuant to stipulation of the parties, the Court continued the June
 21, 2016 Case Management Conference to September 6, 2016;
- on June 23, 2016, the parties stipulated to extend Defendants' time to respond to the Third Amended Complaint from June 23, 2016 to June 27, 2016;
- on August 4, 2016, pursuant to stipulation of the parties, the Court continued the September 6, 2016 Case Management Conference to September 13, 2016; and
- on August 23, 2016, pursuant to stipulation of the parties, the Court continued the September 13, 2016 Case Management Conference, and on September 26, 2016 the Court scheduled the CMC for November 8, 2016.

WHEREAS, Plaintiff plans to file his Fifth Amended Complaint on or before October 24, 2016, but does not waive his right to file his Fifth Amended Complaint after that date as permitted by California Civil Code § 1782(b).

WHEREAS, Defendants will respond to the Fifth Amended Complaint as set forth below; WHEREAS, if Defendants file a motion to dismiss the Fifth Amended Complaint, the briefing of the motion to dismiss shall be scheduled as set forth below.

WHEREAS, if Plaintiff does not file a Fifth Amended Complaint by October 24, 2016, Defendants deadline to respond to the Fourth Amended Complaint shall be November 14, 2016;

COMPLAINTS, BRIEFING SCHEDULING, AND NOVEMBER 8 CMC

1			
2	Dated: October 3, 2016 RO	ROSE LAW GROUP, PC	
3			
4	Ву	/s/ Kathryn Honecker	
5		Kathryn Honecker (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Audra Petrolle (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	
6		-and-	
7		George Richard Baker, Cal. Bar No. 224003	
8		BAKER LAW, PC	
9		436 N. Stanley Avenue Los Angeles, California 90036	
9		Telephone: 323.452.9685	
10		Email: richard@bakerlawpc.com	
11		Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class	
12	Dated: October 3, 2016 SH	EPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP	
13			
14	Ву	/s/ Eric. J. DiIulio	
15		P. Craig Cardon Benjamin O. Aigboboh	
16		Eric J. DiIulio	
17		Attorneys for Defendants	
18			
19	ORDER		
20	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, as modified below, IT IS SO ORDERED:		
21	1. The hearing on the motion to dismiss shall be set on January 11, 2017 at 2 p.m.		
22	2. The Case Management Conference is continued until February 7, 2017.		
23			
24	DATED October 5, 2016	1.1.1100	
25		W_ H. We	
26		The Honorable William H. Orrick United States District Judge	
27		-	
28			

Case No. 3:16-cv-01421-WHO