1	ROSE LAW GROUP				
	KATHRYN HONECKER (pro hac vice)				
2	AUDRA PETROLLE (pro hac vice)				
2	LAUREN NAGEOTTE (pro hac vice)				
3	7144 E Stetson Drive, Suite 300				
4	Scottsdale, Arizona 85251				
4	Telephone: 480.505.3936				
5	1				
7	\mathcal{C} 1				
6	apetrolle@roselawgroup.com				
	lnageotte@roselawgroup.com				
7					
	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class [A	Additional Counsel on Signa	ture Page]		
8		_			
	SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON	N LLP			
9	P. CRAIG CARDON, Cal. Bar No. 168646				
10	BENJAMIN O. AIGBOBOH, Cal. Bar No. 2685	31			
10	ERIC J. DIIULIO, Cal. Bar No. 301439				
11	Four Embarcadero Center, 17 th Floor				
	San Francisco, California 94111-4109				
12	Telephone: 415.434.9100				
	Email: ccardon@sheppardmullin.com				
13	baigboboh@sheppardmullin.com				
14	ediiulio@sheppardmullin.com				
14					
15	Attorneys for Defendants				
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
18	WILLIAM RUSHING, Individually and on	Case No. 3:16-cv-01421-WHO			
19	Behalf of all Others Similarly Situated,	Assigned to the Hon. Will	iam H. Orrick		
	Plaintiff,	<u> </u>			
20	Transmit,	CLASS ACTION			
.	v.				
21		STIPULATED REQUES HEARING ON DEFEN			
22	WILLIAMS-SONOMA, INC., et al.,	TO DISMISS FROM FE			
		TO FEBRUARY 22, 201	7;		
23	Defendants.	ORDER THEREON.			
24		Complaint Filed:	January 29, 2016		
24		Action Removed:	March 23, 2016		
25		5 th Am. Complaint Filed:	,		
		Trial Date:	None Set		
26		man Daw.	THORE DEL		
,					
27					
28					

1 TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: 2 Pursuant to Northern District of California Local Rules 6-1, 6-2, and 7-12, Plaintiff 3 William Rushing ("Plaintiff") and Defendants Williams-Sonoma, Inc., Williams-Sonoma DTC, 4 Inc., and Williams-Sonoma Advertising, Inc. (collectively "Defendants"), by and through their 5 respective counsel, hereby respectfully stipulate and jointly request that the Court continue the 6 hearing on Defendants' motion to dismiss (Dkt. 50) from February 8, 2017 at 2:00 p.m. to 7 February 22, 2017 at 2:00 p.m. 8 RECITALS 9 WHEREAS, on December 6, 2016, the Court granted the parties' stipulated request to 10 extend the briefing schedule and set the hearing on Defendants' motion to dismiss for February 8, 11 2017. (Dkt. 52.) 12 WHEREAS, lead counsel for Defendants will be out of the country for business on 13 February 8, 2017. 14 WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred, and Plaintiff has agreed to join this 15 request to continue the hearing on Defendants' motion to dismiss to February 22, 2017 at 2:00 16 p.m. so that lead counsel for both parties may attend. 17 WHEREAS, all previous time modifications in the case are as follows: 18 on March 29, 2016, the parties stipulated to extend Defendants' deadline to respond 19 to the First Amended Complaint from March 30, 2016 to April 20, 2016; 20 on May 5, 2016, pursuant to stipulation of the parties, the Court continued the June 21 21, 2016 Case Management Conference to September 6, 2016; 22 on June 23, 2016, the parties stipulated to extend Defendants' deadline to respond 23 to the Third Amended Class Action Complaint from June 23, 2016 to June 27, 24 2016; 25 on August 4, 2016, pursuant to stipulation of the parties, the Court continued the 26 September 6, 2016 Case Management Conference to September 13, 2016; 27 28

Case No. 3:16-cv-01421-WHO

1		•	on August 23, 2016, pursuant to stipulation of the parties, the Court continued the	
2			September 13, 2016 Case Management Conference, and on September 26, 2016 the	
3			Court scheduled the Case Management Conference for November 8, 2016;	
4		•	on October 5, 2016, pursuant to stipulation of the parties, the Court continued	
5			Defendants' deadline to respond to Plaintiff's Fourth Amended Class Action	
6			Complaint, set the briefing schedule for Defendants' response to Plaintiff's Fifth	
7			Amended Class Action Complaint, set the hearing on Defendants motion to dismiss	
8			for January 11, 2017, and continued the Case Management Conference to February	
9			7, 2016; and	
10		•	on December 6, 2016, pursuant to stipulation of the parties, the Court extended the	
11			opposition and reply briefing deadlines, continued the hearing on Defendants'	
12			motion to dismiss to February 8, 2017, and continued the Case Management	
13			Conference to February 28, 2017.	
14	WHEREAS, the continuance requested by the parties will not otherwise affect the schedule			
15	for the	case.		
16		WHE	REAS, Eric DiIulio attests that Kathryn Honecker concurs in filing this stipulation.	
17	///			
18	///			
19	///			
20	///			
21	///			
22	///			
23	///			
24	///			
25	///			
26	///			
27	///			
28	///		2 Case No. 3:16-cv-01/21-WH	
			7) [[age No 3:16 ov [11/17]] W/H	

1	<u>STIPULATION</u>				
2	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties, by and				
3	through their respective counsel, that the hearing on Defendants' motion to dismiss (Dkt. 50)				
4	should be continued from February 8, 2017 at 2:00 p.m. to February 22, 2017 at 2:00 p.m.				
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED.				
6					
7	Dated: January 18, 2017	ROSI	E LAW GROUP, PC		
8					
9		Ву	/s/ Kathryn Honecker		
10			Kathryn Honecker (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Audra Petrolle (<i>pro hac vice</i>)		
11			Lauren Nageotte (pro hac vice)		
12			-and-		
13			George Richard Baker, Cal. Bar No. 224003		
			BAKER LAW, PC		
14			436 N. Stanley Avenue Los Angeles, California 90036		
15			Telephone: 323.452.9685		
16			Email: richard@bakerlawpc.com		
17			Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class		
18	Dated: January 18, 2017	Dated: January 18, 2017 SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP			
19			9. 411		
20		Ву	D. Crois Cordon		
21			P. Craig Cardon Benjamin O. Aigboboh		
22			Eric J. DiIulio		
23			Attorneys for Defendants		
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
20			C. N. 2.16 . 01401 WHI		

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:

DATED: <u>January 20</u>, 2017

The Honorable William H. Orrick

United States District Judge