

1 ROSE LAW GROUP
2 KATHRYN HONECKER (*pro hac vice*)
3 AUDRA PETROLLE (*pro hac vice*)
4 LAUREN NAGEOTTE (*pro hac vice*)
5 7144 E Stetson Drive, Suite 300
6 Scottsdale, Arizona 85251
7 Telephone: 480.505.3936
8 Email: khonecker@roselawgroup.com
9 apetrolle@roselawgroup.com
10 lnageotte@roselawgroup.com

11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class* [Additional Counsel on Signature Page]

12 SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
13 P. CRAIG CARDON, Cal. Bar No. 168646
14 BENJAMIN O. AIGBOBOH, Cal. Bar No. 268531
15 ERIC J. DIULIO, Cal. Bar No. 301439
16 Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
17 San Francisco, California 94111-4109
18 Telephone: 415.434.9100
19 Email: ccardon@sheppardmullin.com
20 baigboboh@sheppardmullin.com
21 ediiulio@sheppardmullin.com

22 *Attorneys for Defendants*

23 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

24 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

25 WILLIAM RUSHING, Individually and on
26 Behalf of all Others Similarly Situated,

27 Plaintiff,

28 v.

WILLIAMS-SONOMA, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:16-cv-01421-WHO

Assigned to the Hon. William H. Orrick

CLASS ACTION

**STIPULATED REQUEST TO CONTINUE
FEBRUARY 28, 2017 CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE;
ORDER THEREON.**

Complaint Filed: January 29, 2016
Action Removed: March 23, 2016
5th Am. Complaint Filed: October 24, 2016
Trial Date: None Set

1 **TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

2 Pursuant to Northern District of California Local Rules 6-1, 6-2, and 7-12, Plaintiff
3 William Rushing (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants Williams-Sonoma, Inc., Williams-Sonoma DTC,
4 Inc., and Williams-Sonoma Advertising, Inc. (collectively “Defendants”), by and through their
5 respective counsel, hereby respectfully stipulate and jointly request that the Court continue the
6 case management conference from February 28, 2017 to March 28, 2017 at 2:00 p.m.

7 **RECITALS**

8 WHEREAS, on January 20, 2017, the Court granted the parties’ stipulated request to
9 continue the hearing on Defendants’ motion to dismiss from February 8, 2017 until February 22,
10 2017. (Dkt. 57.)

11 WHEREAS, the current case calendar would require the parties to conduct the Rule 26(f)
12 conference and submit the joint case management statement before Court hears and rules on
13 Defendants’ motion to dismiss.

14 WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred and agree that continuing the February 28,
15 2017 case management conference, and all related dates, will serve the interests of judicial
16 economy by allowing the parties and the Court to focus on the issues that will proceed in this
17 litigation.

18 WHEREAS, all previous time modifications in the case are as follows:


- 19 • on March 29, 2016, the parties stipulated to extend Defendants’ deadline to respond
20 to the First Amended Complaint from March 30, 2016 to April 20, 2016;
 - 21 • on May 5, 2016, pursuant to stipulation of the parties, the Court continued the June
22 21, 2016 Case Management Conference to September 6, 2016;
 - 23 • on June 23, 2016, the parties stipulated to extend Defendants’ deadline to respond
24 to the Third Amended Class Action Complaint from June 23, 2016 to June 27,
25 2016;
 - 26 • on August 4, 2016, pursuant to stipulation of the parties, the Court continued the
27 September 6, 2016 Case Management Conference to September 13, 2016;
- 28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED as modified below: the Case Management Conference will occur on March 14, 2017 at 2:00 p.m.

DATED: February 8, 2017



The Honorable William H. Orrick
United States District Judge