UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SUNRISE SPECIALTY	
COMPANY, INC., et al.	CASE NO. 3:16-cv-01461-HSG
Plaintiff(s),	
v. SCOTTSDALE INSURANCE COMPANY, Defendant(s).	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Counsel report that they have met a following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.F.	and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the follo	owing ADR process:
appreciably more likely to meet their need ADR phone conference and may not file to ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local English Private Process:	ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) ettlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is ls than any other form of ADR must participate in an his form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
_ , ,	by: The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order OR process unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadline	
Dated: June 6, 2016	Alan L. Martini Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: June 6, 2016	Louis H. Castoria Attorney for Defendant

IDDADACEDI	
(PROPOSED)	·UKDER

~	The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
	The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 6/10/2016

Haywood S. July J.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."