

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIARAVI WHITWORTH,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
SOLARCITY CORP.,  
Defendant.

Case No. 16-cv-01540-JSC

**ORDER RE: MOTION TO COMPEL  
ARBITRATION**

Re: Dkt. No. 15

Defendant SolarCity Corp. has filed a motion to compel arbitration which is set for hearing on June 30, 2016. (Dkt. No. 15.) The Court VACATES the hearing and ORDERS the parties to submit supplemental briefing regarding why the Court should not stay the matter pending the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeal's disposition of *Morris v. Ernst & Young, LLP*, No. 13-16599, which was argued and submitted in November 2015.

In opposing SolarCity's motion to compel arbitration, Plaintiff contends that the representative/class action waiver in the arbitration agreement here is unenforceable because it runs afoul of the prohibition in the National Labor Relations Act of 1935 ("NLRA") on restrictions on employee's right to "concerted activity." See 29 U.S.C. § 157. As the parties note, there is a circuit split on this issue with the Second, Fifth, and Eighth Circuit concluding that class action waivers do not violate the NLRA, and the Seventh Circuit taking the contrary position. Compare *Sutherland v. Ernst & Young, LLP*, 726 F.3d 290, 297 n.8 (2d Cir. 2013); *D.R. Horton, Inc. v. N.L.R.B.*, 737 F.3d 344, 357 (5th Cir. 2013); *Owen v. Bristol Care, Inc.*, 702 F.3d 1050, 1055 (8th Cir. 2013); with *Lewis v. Epic Sys. Corp.*, No. 15-2997, 2016 WL 3029464, at \*10 (7th Cir. May 26, 2016). The Ninth Circuit has yet to resolve this issue, but is poised to do so in *Morris v. Ernst & Young, LLP*, No. 13-16599.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Accordingly, the parties shall meet and confer on whether they agree the case should be stayed pending the Ninth Circuit’s decision. If so, they shall file a stipulation. If they do not agree to a stay, they shall simultaneously submit supplemental briefs not to exceed three pages addressing why the Court should not stay this matter while the appeal in Morris is pending. The briefs are due by July 1, 2016.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: June 20, 2016

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY  
United States Magistrate Judge