

1 W. GORDON KAUPP, SBN 226141
 2 KAUPP & FEINBERG, LLP
 3 870 Market Street, Suite 646
 4 San Francisco, California 94102
 Telephone: (415) 896-4588
 Facsimile: (415) 294-9127
 E-Mail: gordon@kaupffeinberg.com

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 6 SHARON GREEN

7 RYAN P. ESKIN, SBN 205413
 8 THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY
 9 OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL
 401 Golden Shore, 4th Floor
 Long Beach, CA 90802-4210
 Telephone: (562) 951-4500
 Facsimile: (562) 951-4956
 E-Mail: reskin@calstate.edu

12 Attorneys for Defendants
 13 BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,
 14 SUSAN OPP, DENISE NEEDLAMAN, AND JAMES HOUPIS

15 ---o0o---

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

18 ---o0o---

19 SHARON GREEN,

20 Plaintiff,

21 vs.

22 BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CALIFORNIA
 23 STATE UNIVERSITY; SUSAN OPP, individually;
 24 JAMES HOUPIS, individually; DENISE
 25 NEEDLEMAN, individually; and DOES 1 through 50,
 inclusive;

26 Defendants.

Case No. 16-cv-1655

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
 ORDER FOR DISMISSAL WITH
 PREJUDICE**

1 WHEREAS the parties to this action, and each of them, have fully and finally resolved all issues
2 set forth in this case.

3 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the undersigned counsel for the parties that this
4 entire action is hereby dismissed with prejudice. The parties STIPULATE that each party shall bear their
5 own attorneys' fees and costs.

6 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

7
8 DATED: July 19, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

9
10 KAUPP & FEINBERG, LLP

11 By: /s/ _____
12 W. Gordon Kaupp
13 Attorneys for Plaintiff

14 DATED: July 19, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

15 THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY

16 By: /s/ _____
17 Ryan Eskin
18 Attorneys for Defendants

19 ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 5-1(i)(3)

20 I, W. Gordon Kaupp, attest that concurrence in the filing of this stipulation has been obtained
21 from the signatory Ryan Eskin, Defendants' counsel.

22 Executed this 19 day of July, 2016, in San Francisco, California.

23
24 By: /s/ _____
25 W. Gordon Kaupp

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, the Court ORDERS that the foregoing stipulation is binding, and this case, in its entirety, is dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: July 19, 2016



HON. MARIA-ELENA JAMES
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE