

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SELOMI VILLALTA,
 Plaintiff,

No. C 16-01741 WHA

v.

**ORDER REVOKING IN FORMA
 PAUPERIS STATUS**

EOIR, PRINT MAGGARD, USCIS, AND
 EMILIA BARDINE

Defendants.

In this closed immigration action, pro se plaintiff appealed an order dismissing the
 action. Our court of appeals referred the matter to this Court for a determination as to whether
 plaintiff's in forma pauperis status should continue for this appeal. This order determines that it
 should not. There are no valid grounds on which an appeal can be based. Consequently, this
 order certifies that any appeal taken from the order of dismissal and judgment of this action will
 not be taken in good faith and is therefore frivolous. Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3)(A); *Ellis v.*
United States, 356 U.S. 674, 674–75 (1958); *Hooker v. American Airlines*, 302 F.3d 1091,
 1092 (9th Cir. 2002). Accordingly, plaintiff's in forma pauperis status is hereby **REVOKED**.
 The
 Clerk shall notify plaintiff and the Court of Appeals of this order. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(4).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 25, 2016.


 WILLIAM ALSUP