

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VARIOUS, INC.,

No. C 16-01833 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

JEDI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,


**ORDER DENYING PRO  
HAC VICE APPLICATIONS**Defendant.  

---

The *pro hac vice* applications of Attorneys Ralph A. Dengler (Dkt. No. 10), Frank M. Gasparo (Dkt. No. 11), and Gianna E. Cricco-Lizza (Dkt. No. 12), are **DENIED** for failing to comply with Local Rule 11-3. The local rule requires that an applicant certify that “he or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States *Court* or of *the highest court* of another State or the District of Columbia, *specifying such bar*” (emphasis added). Filling out the *pro hac vice* form from the district court website such that it only identifies the state of bar membership — such as “the bar of the State of New York” — is inadequate under the local rule because it fails to identify a specific court. While the application fees do not need to be paid again, the applications cannot be processed until corrected forms are submitted.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: April 19, 2016.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE