

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JACKSON LONG,
Plaintiff,
v.
ASAP COLLECTION SVC. LLC,
Defendant.

Case No. [16-cv-01891-MEJ](#)
**ORDER CONTINUING CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**

The Court is in receipt of Plaintiff Jackson Long (“Plaintiff”)’s Ex Parte Request for a continuance of the initial Case Management Conference scheduled for July 14, 2016. Dkt. No. 7. Plaintiff requests the Conference be continued for 90 days. *Id.*

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b) and Civil Local Rule 16-10, a Case Management Conference will be held in this case before the Honorable Maria-Elena James **on September 8, 2016** at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom B, 15th Floor, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102. This conference shall be attended by lead trial counsel for parties who are represented. Parties who are proceeding without counsel must appear personally.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than seven calendar days before the Case Management Conference, the parties shall file a Joint Case Management Statement containing the information in the Standing Order for All Judges in the Northern District of California, available at: <http://cand.uscourts.gov/mejorders>. The Joint Case Management Statement form may be obtained at: <http://cand.uscourts.gov/civilforms>. If the statement is e-filed, no chambers copy is required.

Plaintiff must serve a copy of this Order on Defendant and by August 11, 2016, file with the Court a related proof of service or a status report as to why Defendant has not been served.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Additionally, in the future, all requests or motions to change time must comply with Civil Local Rule 6 and specifically Civil Local Rule 6-3, which requires among other things, a proposed order and a declaration setting forth “with particularity” the reasons for the requested enlargement of time, the efforts to obtain a stipulation to change time, and the substantial harm or prejudice that would occur if the Court did not change the time.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 6, 2016



MARIA-ELENA JAMES
United States Magistrate Judge