

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
San Francisco Division

CHARLES KINNEY, Plaintiff, v. TYSON TAKEUCHI, <i>et al.</i> , Defendants.	Case No. 16-cv-02018-LB ORDER REGARDING RELATED CASES Re: ECF No. 23
CHARLES KINNEY, Plaintiff, v. JUDGE PHILLIP GUTIERREZ, <i>et al.</i> , Defendants.	Case No. 16-cv-02278-LB ORDER REGARDING RELATED CASES

Mr. Kinney objects to the court’s prior order relating case number 16-cv-02018-LB to cases that the court previously decided. (*See* ECF No. 20.) The court deemed this case related to case number 16-cv-01260-LB, and it also is related to case number 14-cv-02187-LB. The court transferred both cases to the Central District of California. Mr. Kinney points out that there is an earlier-numbered case: case number 13-cv-01396-MMC. That case primarily involved Mr. Kinney’s efforts to enjoin the State Bar from conducting proceedings against him. By contrast, his latest lawsuits focus on state-court lawsuits he lost. Considering the local rules, and how recently ORDER (Nos. 16-cv-02018-LB and 16-cv-02278-LB)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

the undersigned addressed similar issues, the court does not reconsider its related case order. The court appreciates Mr. Kinney’s point but the interests of judicial economy that underlie the related-case rule militate in favor of the court’s decision. The same analysis applies to the court’s order relating case number 16-cv-02778-HSG.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 4, 2016



LAUREL BEELER
United States Magistrate Judge