

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

COBALT PARTNERS, LP, COBALT PARTNERS II, LP, COBALT OFFSHORE MASTER FUND, LP AND COBALT KC PARTNERS, LP,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SUNEDISON, INC., AHMAD CHATILA, BRIAN WUEBBELS, MARTIN TRUONG, ALEJANDRO HERNANDEZ, EMMANUEL HERNANDEZ, ANTONIO R. ALVAREZ, PETER BLACKMORE, CLAYTON DALEY JR., GEORGANNE PROCTOR, STEVEN TESORIERE, JAMES B. WILLIAMS, RANDY H. ZWIRN, GOLDMAN, SACHS & CO., J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES LLC, MORGAN STANLEY & CO. LLC, MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED, DEUTSCHE BANK SECURITIES INC., MACQUARIE CAPITAL (USA), INC., MCS CAPITAL MARKETS LLC and DOES 1- 25, inclusive,

Defendants.

No. C 15-03988 WHA

ORDER DENYING PRO HAC VICE APPLICATIONS OF ATTORNEYS MICHAEL BONGIORNO AND TIMOTHY PERLA

The pro hac vice applications of Attorneys Michael Bongiorno and Timothy Perla (Dkt. Nos. 15–16) are **DENIED** for failing to comply with Civil Local Rule 11–3. The local rule requires that an applicant certify that “he or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States Court or of the highest court of another State or the District of Columbia,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

specifying such bar” (emphasis added). Filling out the pro hac vice form from the district court website such that it only identifies the state of bar membership — such as “the bar of Texas” — is inadequate under the local rule because it fails to identify a specific court (such as the Supreme Court of Texas). While the application fees do not need to be paid again, the applications cannot be processed until corrected forms are submitted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 12, 2016.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE