

1 NINA F. LOCKER, State Bar No. 123838
 Email: nlocker@wsgr.com
 2 JEROME F. BIRN, JR., State Bar No. 128561
 Email: jbirn@wsgr.com
 3 JONI OSTLER, State Bar No. 230009
 Email: jostler@wsgr.com
 4 NICHOLAS R. MILLER, State Bar No. 274243
 Email: nmiller@wsgr.com
 5 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
 Professional Corporation
 6 650 Page Mill Road
 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
 7 Telephone: (650) 493-9300
 Facsimile: (650) 493-6811
 8

9 *Attorneys for Defendants Intrexon Corporation,*
Randal J. Kirk, Rick L. Sterling, and Krish S. Krishnan
 10

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 14

15	In re Intrexon Corporation Securities Litigation)	CASE NO.: 3:16-cv-02398-RS
16)	
17)	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>
18)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
19)	ORDER CONTINUING HEARING ON
20)	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
21)	DISMISS
22)	

23
24
25
26
27
28

STIP. AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING
 HEARING ON DEFS' MOT. TO DISMISS
 CASE NO.: 3:16-CV-02398-RS

1 Defendants Intrexon Corporation, Randal J. Kirk, Rick L. Sterling, and Krish S. Krishnan
2 (collectively, “Defendants”), and Lead Plaintiff Joe Seppen, by and through their attorneys,
3 stipulate and agree as follows:

4 WHEREAS, on August 2, 2016, based on a stipulation by and among the parties, the Court
5 entered an Order Regarding Deadline for Filing Consolidated Amended Complaint and Briefing
6 Schedule for Anticipated Motion(s) to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 41) setting forth a schedule requiring
7 that Plaintiff file its consolidated amended complaint by September 22, 2016, that Defendants
8 answer or otherwise respond by November 17, 2016, that Plaintiff file its opposition to that motion
9 by December 23, 2016, and that Defendants file their reply by February 2, 2017;

10 WHEREAS, Defendants thereafter timely filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint
11 in this action (Dkt. No. 46) (“Motion to Dismiss”) on November 17, 2016;

12 WHEREAS, the hearing on Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss is currently noticed for
13 February 16, 2017;

14 WHEREAS, Defendants’ counsel subsequently learned of a scheduling conflict and cannot
15 attend a hearing on February 16, 2017;

16 WHEREAS, Defendants informed Lead Plaintiff of this scheduling conflict and the parties
17 agreed to request that the hearing on Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss be continued for one week to
18 Monday, February 23, 2017.

19 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among the
20 respective parties hereto, subject to the approval of the Court, that:

21 1. The hearing on Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss shall be continued from February
22 16, 2017 to February 23, 2017.

23 2. All briefing deadlines with respect to the Motion to Dismiss shall remain as set
24 forth in the Scheduling Order.

25 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

1 DATED: January 6, 2017

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

By: /s/ Joni Ostler
Joni Ostler

650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Telephone: (650) 493-9300
Facsimile: (650) 493-6811
Email: jostler@wsgr.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Intrexon Corporation,
Randal J. Kirk, Rick L. Sterling, and Krish S.
Krishnan*

10 DATED: January 6, 2017

GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP

By: /s/ Joshua L. Crowell
Joshua L. Crowell

1925 Century Park East
Suite 2100
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: 310-201-9150
Facsimile: 310-201-9160
Email: jcrowell@glancylaw.com

Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff Joe Seppen

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER

GOOD CAUSE HAVING BEEN SHOWN, it is hereby ordered that:

1. The hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss shall be continued from February 16, 2017 to February 23, 2017.

2. All briefing deadlines with respect to the Motion to Dismiss shall remain as set forth in the Scheduling Order.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 DATED: 1/6/17


HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE