

1 BIJAL V. VAKIL (CA State Bar No. 192878)
 2 bvakil@whitecase.com
 3 ALLEN WANG (CA State Bar No. 278953)
 4 awang@whitecase.com
 5 WHITE & CASE LLP
 6 3000 El Camino Real
 7 Five Palo Alto Square, 9th Floor
 8 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2109
 9 Telephone: (650) 213-0300
 10 Facsimile: (650) 213-8158

11 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 12 Google Inc.

13 Jonathan Baker (CA State Bar No. 196062)
 14 FARNEY DANIELS PC
 15 411 Borel Avenue, Suite 350
 16 San Mateo, California 94402
 17 Telephone: (424) 278-5200
 18 jbaker@farneydaniels.com

19 Counsel for Creative Labs, Inc.
 20 and Creative Technology Ltd.

21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 22 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 23 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WHITE & CASE LLP
 ATTORNEYS AT LAW
 SILICON VALLEY

24 GOOGLE INC.,
 25
 26 Plaintiff,
 27
 28 v.
 29 CREATIVE LABS, INC. and
 30 CREATIVE TECHNOLOGY LTD.,
 31
 32 Defendants.

Case No. 3:16-cv-02628-JST
**STIPULATION AND
 [PROPOSED] ORDER
 REQUESTING ENLARGEMENT
 OF TIME TO RESPOND TO
 COMPLAINT AND
 RESCHEDULING THE CASE
 MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
 PURSUANT TO L.R. 6-2**

1 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b) and Civil Local Rules 6-1(b), 6-2, and
2 7-12, counsel for Google, Inc. (“Google”) and counsel for Creative Labs, Inc. and Creative
3 Technology Ltd. have met and conferred regarding an extension of time for the Creative entities
4 to respond to the Complaint.

5 Creative Labs, Inc. was served with the Summons and Complaint on June 9, 2016, with a
6 response originally due on June 30, 2016.

7 Creative Technology Ltd. is based in Singapore. As Singapore is not a signatory to the
8 Hague Service Convention, Singapore-based entities may be served only through Letters
9 Rogatory or private process service. For efficiency, to improve judicial economy, to give
10 Creative Technology Ltd. fair and adequate time to file its answer or otherwise respond to the
11 Complaint, and to alleviate the burden of serving a foreign entity, the parties agree to have the
12 response from both Creative Labs, Inc. and Creative Technology Ltd. due on the same date, and
13 have further agreed to a 75-day extension. Counsel for Creative has agreed to accept service for
14 Creative Technology Ltd. No party will be prejudiced by this extension.

15 The parties hereby jointly stipulate, agree, and request an order from the Court as follows:

- 16 1. Creative Labs, Inc. and Creative Technology Ltd. shall have up to and including
17 September 13, 2016 to file an answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint.
- 18 2. The Case Management Conference currently scheduled for August
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

By: /s/ Jonathan D. Baker
Jonathan D. Baker

Attorney for Defendants
Creative Labs, Inc. and
Creative Technology Ltd.

ATTESTATION FOR SIGNATURE

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatory.

Dated: June 29, 2016 /s/ Bijal V. Vakil
Bijal V. Vakil

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: June 29, 2016 
Hon. Jon S. Tigar, U.S.D.J.