

Before the Court is the "Motion to Remand Action to San Francisco Superior Court," filed May 26, 2016, by plaintiffs Rebekah Prewitt and Lauren Barry and noticed for hearing on July 8, 2016. Defendant Safeway, Inc. ("Safeway") has filed opposition, to which plaintiffs have replied. Having read and considered the papers filed in support of and in opposition to the motion, the Court finds it appropriate, for the reasons stated below, to afford Safeway an opportunity to file a sur-reply.

In the motion, plaintiffs argue that the instant action should be remanded for 22 certain specified reasons. In the reply, however, plaintiffs seek remand on a ground not 23 raised in their moving papers, namely, that Safeway failed to prove, by attaching 24 evidence to its opposition, that this Court has jurisdiction over the above-titled action.

Accordingly, Safeway is hereby afforded leave to file, no later than June 30, 2016, 25 26 a sur-reply not to exceed eight pages in length, exclusive of exhibits, in which Safeway 27 addresses the above-referenced issue. Given the June 30, 2016, deadline for Safeway's 28 sur-reply, and the Court's unavailability on July 15, 2016, the hearing on the Motion to

Northern District of California United States District Court

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

	1	Remand is hereby CONTINUED to July 22, 2016.	
	2	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	3	Dated: June 21, 2016	Mafine M. Cherney
	4		MAXINE M. CHESNEY
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		
	10		
	11		
	12		
	13		
))	14		
	15		
	16		
	17		
1	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		
		2	

United States District Court Northern District of California