1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 WALTER HERBERT, et al., Case No. 16-cv-03085-JST 4 Plaintiffs, 5 **ORDER VACATING HEARING** v. 6 Re: ECF No. 14 CORDIS CORPORATION, et al., 7 Defendants. 8 9 Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion to Remand Based on Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction. ECF No. 14. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 78(b) and Civil Local Rule 10 11 7-1(b), the Court finds the matter suitable for disposition without oral argument. The hearing on 12 this matter, currently scheduled for July 28, 2016, is hereby VACATED. 13 If, however, any party advises the Court in writing by no later than two days from the date 14 of this Order that most or all of the argument for its side will be conducted by a lawyer who has 15 been licensed to practice law for five or fewer years, and who has not previously presented argument before this Court, then the Court will reschedule the hearing at a time that is convenient 16 to all parties in order to provide that opportunity. Counsel shall confer with each other, and the 17 18 party requesting the rescheduling of the hearing shall identify the upcoming available dates on the 19 Court's calendar at which all counsel are available for the hearing. **IT IS SO ORDERED.** 20 21

Dated: July 19, 2016

JON S. TIGAR nited States District Judge

Northern District of California United States District Court

22

23

24

25

26

27

28