1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Michael L. Slack (Texas Bar No. 18476800) mslack@slackdavis.com Admitted Pro Hac Vice John R. Davis (Cal. Bar No. 308412) jdavis@slackdavis.com Admitted Pro Hac Vice SLACK & DAVIS, LLP 2705 Bee Cave Road, Suite 220 Austin, TX 78746 Thomas J. Brandi (Cal. Bar No. 53208) tjb@brandilaw.com Brian J. Malloy (Cal. Bar No. 234882) bjm@brandilaw.com THE BRANDI LAW FIRM 354 Pine Street, Third Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 415 080 1800			
10	415-989-1800			
11 12	Attorneys for Plaintiff Todd Johnston			
12				
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
17	TODD JOHNSTON, individually and on ) Case No. 3:16-cv-3134-EMC			
18	behalf of a class of similarly situated persons, ) [Related to Case No. 3:13-cv-3826-EMC]			
19	Plaintiff, ) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]			
20	vs. () ORDER CONTINUING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE			
21	) UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware )			
22	Corporation,) Judge:Hon. Edward M. Chen) Courtroom:5			
23	Defendant.			
24	Related to: )			
25	O'Connor et al. v. Uber Tech., Inc., No. 3:13-) cv-3826-EMC			
26				
27	,			
28	1			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE - Case No.: 3:16-cv-3134-EMC			
	Dockets.Justia.			

1		STIPULATION
2	1	WHEREAS, an initial case management conference was originally set for September 29, 2016;
2		WHEREAS, Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc. filed a motion to stay proceedings, with a hearing
4		date of September 22, 2016;
5	3.	WHEREAS, on August 12, 2016, the initial case management conference was continued to October
6		27, 2016;
7	4.	WHEREAS, counsel for Plaintiff Todd Johnston has a conflict with the October 27, 2016 date;
8	5.	WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred on alternative dates in which counsel for both sides
9		are available based on the Court's Scheduling Notes on availability; and
10	6.	WHEREAS, the parties stipulate and respectfully request that the initial case management
11		conference be continued to the earlier available date of November 17, December 1, December 8 or
12		December 15, 2016.
13		
14	Dated:	August 24, 2016
15		<u>/s/ Emily E. O'Connor</u> CARLOS JIMENEZ
16		EMILY E. O'CONNOR LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.
17		Attorneys for Defendant UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
18	Dated:	August 24, 2016
19		
20		/s/ Brian J. Malloy
21		THOMAS J. BRANDI BRIAN J. MALLOY
22		THE BRANDI LAW FIRM Attorneys for Plaintiff
23		TODD JOHNSTON
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		2
		LATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE -
	Case N	o.: 3:16-cv-3134-EMC

## **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

The initial case management conference is continued from October 27, 2016 to November 17, 201
at 9:30 a.m. A joint CMC statement shall be filed by November 10, 2016.
Dated: 8/29/2016
Shired States District Judge
IT IS SO ORDERED
IT IS SO ONE
Z Gond M. Chen
Judge Edward M. Chen
THEN DISTRICT OF CT
3

1	FILER'S ATTESTATION
2	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i), I, Brian J. Malloy, attest that concurrence in the filing of this
3	document has been obtained.
4	
5	/s/ Brian J. Malloy BRIAN J. MALLOY
6	BRIAN J. MALLOY
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	4
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE - Case No.: 3:16-cv-3134-EMC