Todd Johnston v. Uber Technologies, Inc.

Doc. 65

STIPULATION

1	
2	On March 22, 2017, the Court entered the following order in this case: "The Court lifted the stay in
3	the Johnston case for the limited purpose of entertaining Uber's motion to compel arbitration since
4	Plaintiffs' argument under the WARN Act may be distinct. Counsel in the Johnston case shall meet and
5	confer and to submit a proposed stipulated briefing schedule for defendant's motion to compel arbitration."
6	(Dkt. 803 at p. 2.)
7	Pursuant to that order, the parties have met and conferred and have agreed upon the following
8	
9	schedule:
10	April 13, 2017- Defendant's motion to compel arbitration shall be filed;
11	May 15, 2017- Plaintiff's opposition shall be filed;
12	June 1, 2017- Defendant's reply brief shall be filed; and
13 14	June 15, 2017 at 1:30 p.m hearing on Defendant's motion to compel arbitration
15	
16	
17	Dated: March 29, 2017
18	/s/ Sophia Behnia
19	CARLOS JIMENEZ EMILY E. O'CONNOR SOPHIA BEHNIA
20	LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.
21	Attorneys for Defendant UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
22	Dated: March 29, 2017
23	
24	/s/ Brian J. Malloy THOMAS J. BRANDI
25	BRIAN J. MALLOY THE BRANDI LAW FIRM
26	Attorneys for Plaintiff TODD JOHNSTON
27	TODD JOHNSTON

28

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

The following schedule is set:

April 13, 2017- Defendant's motion to compel arbitration shall be filed;

May 15, 2017- Plaintiff's opposition shall be filed;

June 1, 2017- Defendant's reply brief shall be filed; and

June 15, 2017 at 1:30 p.m.- hearing on Defendant's motion to compel arbitration

Dated: 4/3/2017



FILER'S ATTESTATION Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i), I, Brian J. Malloy, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained. /s/ Brian J. Malloy BRIAN J. MALLOY

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE - Case No.: 3:16-cv-3134-EMC