

GWILLIAM, IVARY, CHIOSSO, CAVALLI & BREWER

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

A Professional Corporation
P.O. Box 2079, Oakland, CA 94604-2079

1 J. Gary Gwilliam (SBN. 33430)
 2 Randall E. Strauss (SBN. 168363)
 3 Jayme L. Walker (SBN. 273159)
 4 GWILLIAM IVARY CHIOSSO CAVALLI & BREWER
 5 1999 Harrison St., Suite 1600
 6 Oakland, CA 94612
 7 Phone: (510)832-5411
 8 Fax: (510)832-1918
 9 Email: ggwilliam@giccb.com
 10 rstrauss@giccb.com
 11 jwalker@giccb.com

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 13 KATHERINE OSTER

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

16 KATHERINE OSTER,
 17 Plaintiff,

18 vs.

19 CAITHNESS CORPORATION; CAITHNESS
 20 ENERGY, LLC; CAITHNESS
 21 DEVELOPMENT, LLC; LESLIE GELBER;
 22 and DOES 1-50,
 23 Defendant.

Case No.: 3:16-CV-03164-WHO

JOINT STIPULATION TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER

TRIAL DATE: NOVEMBER 6, 2017

24 On December 21, 2016, this Court issued a Civil Pretrial Order setting this matter for Trial
 25 (Docket No. 34). The Court set trial for November 6, 2017, at 8:30 a.m. and a final pretrial
 26 conference on October 10, 2017, at 2:00 p.m. The Court also set “all corresponding Pretrial
 27 Deadlines.” Pursuant to the following stipulation, the parties now seek to modify the Scheduling
 28 Order.

29 ///

30 ///

31 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and among the parties hereto, through their respective

1 counsel of record, that the dates and pretrial deadlines be continued as follows:

- 2 1. The parties agree that fact discovery is extended to April 14, 2017.
- 3 2. The parties agree that Rule 26 expert reports are due April 28, 2017.
- 4 3. The parties agree that rebuttal expert reports are due May 12, 2017.
- 5 4. The parties agree that expert discovery will close June 9, 2017.
- 6 5. Defendants will file any dispositive motions by June 23, 2017.
- 7 6. Plaintiff will file her opposition to any dispositive motion by July 7, 2017.
- 8 7. Defendants will file their reply by July 19, 2017.
- 9 8. All other dates in the original scheduling order will remain the same.
- 10 9. If the court cannot accommodate the briefing schedule proposed for dispositive motions,
- 11 the parties request a conference call.

12 IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

15 DATED: _____


Attorneys for Plaintiff Katherine Oster

19 DATED: _____

Attorneys for Defendants Caithness Corporation, Caithness Energy, L.L.C., Caithness Development, LLC and Leslie Gelber

23 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 DATED: March 28, 2017



HON. WILLIAM H. ORRICK
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE