

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARILYN D. MINTZ,
Plaintiff,
v.
SUBARU OF AMERICA, INC.,
Defendant.

Case No. [16-cv-03384-MMC](#)

**ORDER RE: STIPULATION TO
TRANSFER AND TO EXTEND TIME**

Re: Dkt. No. 14

Before the Court is the parties' Stipulation to transfer the above-titled trademark and copyright case to the San Jose Division, which transfer, the parties state without elaboration, is "in the furtherance of the convenience of the parties and witnesses and in the interests of justice." (See Stip. at 2:2-3.)

Trademark and copyright cases, along with several other specified categories, are assigned on a district-wide basis, for the purpose, inter alia, of alleviating an otherwise unequal distribution of those actions. See General Order No. 44.D.3. Although there may be circumstances under which a transfer may be warranted despite the considerations underlying General Order No. 44, in this instance, such a showing has not been made.

Accordingly, the parties' stipulation to transfer is hereby DENIED.


To the extent the Stipulation also includes a joint request that defendant be provided an extension of time to respond to the complaint, specifically, an extension of ten days from the date of the order addressing the Stipulation, said joint request is hereby

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

GRANTED, and defendant shall have ten days from the date of this order to respond to the complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 19, 2016


MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge