IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GREGORY INGALLS and TONY HONG, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SPOTIFY USA, INC., a Delaware corporation, DOES 1–10, inclusive,

Defendants.
/

No. C 16-03533 WHA

ORDER DENYING PRO HAC VICE APPLICATION OF ATTORNEY STEPHEN M. RUMMAGE

The *pro hac vice* application of Attorney Stephen M. Rummage (Dkt. No. 19) is **DENIED** for failing to comply with Local Rule 11-3. The local rule requires that an applicant certify that "he or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States *Court* or of *the highest court* of another State or the District of Columbia, *specifying such bar*" (emphasis added). Filling out the *pro hac vice* form from the district court website such that it only identifies the state of bar membership — such as "the bar of Washington State" — is inadequate under the local rule because it fails to identify a specific court. While the application fee does not need to be paid again, the application cannot be processed until a corrected form is submitted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 28, 2016.

WILLIAM ALSUP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE