1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6			
7	GIANNI VERSACE, S.P.A., et al.,	Case No. <u>16-cv-03617-HSG</u>	
8	Plaintiffs,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	
9	V.	Re: Dkt. No. 69	
10	VERSACE 19.69 ABBIGLIAMENTO SPORTIVO SRL, et al.,		
11	Defendants.		
12	On November 21, 2016, a motion to withdraw as counsel was filed by the attorneys at		
13	Brown George Ross LLP ("BGR") who serve as counsel of record for Defendants V1969 Versace		
14	SMO LLC, V1969 Versace HG LLC, and V1969 USA LLC (collectively, "LVBHQ		
15	Defendants"). Dkt. No. 61. At the December 8, 2016 hearing, the Court in its discretion denied		
16	the motion on the record, without prejudice to BGR renewing the motion. Dkt. No. 69. The		
17	following day, the Court ordered both BGR and a client representative for the LVBHQ Defendants		
18	to appear in person on December 27, 2016 to discuss issues relating to the motion to withdraw.		
19	Id. ¹ Only BGR appeared. The Court hereby ORDERS the LVBHQ Defendants to show cause		
20	why they should not be sanctioned for failing to appear at the December 27, 2016 hearing.		
21	//		
22	//		
23	//		
24	//		
25 26			
26	¹ The Court's order was extremely clear on this p	point. See Dkt. No. 69 ("The Court ORDERS a	
27 28	client representative for the LVBHQ Defendants to appear in person before the Court on December 27, 2016 at 2:00 p.m. to address the issues raised in BGR's motion to withdraw. BGR shall also appear at the hearing in person.")		

	1	LVBHQ Defendants must file a statement of two pages or less by January 4, 2017, responding to
	2	this order to show cause.
	3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
	4	Dated: 12/28/2016
	5	Haywood S. Gulf.
	6	HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR. United States District Judge
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
rt nia	12	
United States District Court Northern District of California	13	
strict of Ca	14	
es Dis trict e	15	
State n Dis	16	
nited rther	17	
U No	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
		2