Shroeder v. YRC Inc	et al	ı	Ooc. 24
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
10	JERALD SCHROEDER on behalf of	Case No. 16-cv-03815-WHA	
11	himself, all others similarly situated, and		
12	on behalf of the general public,	Assigned for all purposes to the Honorable William Alsup	
13	Plaintiffs,	<del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER ON THE PARTIES'	
14	V.	JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND THE	
15	YRC INC.; YRC WORLDWIDE, INC.; and DOES 1-100,	FILING DATES RELATED TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS,	
16	Defendants.	STAY OR TRANSFER	
17			
18	Currently before the Court is the Parties' joint stipulation to extend the filing dates		\$
19	related to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, Stay or Transfer. Good causeappearing, the Parties'		
20	Stipulation is <b>GRANTED</b> , and <b>IT IS HEREBY ORDERED</b> that: (1) Plaintiff's Opposition to		0
21	Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, Stay or Transfer be filed no laterthan August 15, 2016; and (2)		)
22	Defendants' Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition be filed no later than August 22, 2016at noon.		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
24	II IS SO ORDERED.		
25	Date: August 4, 2016. By:		
26		The Horable William Alsup	
27		Jnited States District Court Judge	
28			
		Cons. No. 16 and 02015 WH	۸

1 Case No. 16-cv-03815-WHA [PROPOSED] ORDER ON THE PARTIES' JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND THE FILING DATES RELATED TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS, STAY OR TRANSFER