

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6 DIAMOND REAL ESTATE, et al.,

7 Plaintiffs,

8 v.

9 AMERICAN BROKERS CONDUIT, et al.,

10 Defendants.  
11

Case No. [16-cv-03937-HSG](#)

**ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF  
TIME TO FILE DECLARATION**

Re: Dkt. No. 50

12 On November 17, 2016, the Court ordered corporate Plaintiff Diamond Real Estate  
13 (“Diamond”) to file a declaration stating that it had hired counsel no later than December 16,  
14 2016. Dkt. No. 47; *see also U.S. v. High Country Broad. Co., Inc.*, 3 F.3d 1244, 1245 (9th Cir.  
15 1993) (“A corporation may appear in federal court only through licensed counsel.”); Civil L.R. 3-  
16 9(b) (“A corporation, unincorporated association, partnership or other such entity may appear only  
17 through a member of the bar of this Court.”). On December 16, 2016, Diamond filed a motion for  
18 a twenty-day extension of time to file the declaration stating that it has hired counsel. Dkt. No. 50.  
19 The Court hereby **GRANTS** the motion for extension of time.<sup>1</sup> Diamond must file the declaration  
20 no later than January 5, 2016.

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22 Dated: 12/28/2016

23   
24 HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.  
25 United States District Judge

26  
27 <sup>1</sup> The Court notes, however, that Diamond’s motion did not fully comply with the Local Rules.  
28 *See* Civil L.R. 6-3(a)(2)-(3) (listing two of the requirements for the form and content of a motion  
to change time, which were not satisfied by Diamond). The Court urges Diamond to review the  
Local Rules more closely with relation to future filings.