

1 NOSSAMAN LLP  
 2 VERONICA M. GRAY (SBN 72572)  
 3 vgray@nossaman.com  
 4 ANDREW C. CRANE (SBN 285211)  
 5 acrane@nossaman.com  
 6 18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1800  
 Irvine, CA 92612  
 Telephone: 949.833.7800  
 Facsimile: 949.833.7878

7 S. ASHAR AHMED (SBN 256711)  
 8 aahmed@nossaman.com  
 9 50 California Street, 34th Floor  
 San Francisco, CA 94111  
 Telephone: 415.398.3600  
 Facsimile: 415.398.2438

11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants  
 12 ICE Consulting, Inc.; Uzair Sattar;  
 and Derick Needham

13 [Additional Counsel listed on Signature Page]

14  
 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 16  
 17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 18  
 19 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

19 ICE CONSULTING, INC., UZAIR  
 SATTAR, and DERICK NEEDHAM

20 Plaintiffs and Counter-  
 21 Defendants,

22 vs.

23 GAVIN JENSEN,

24 Defendant and Counter-  
 Claimant.

Case No: 3:16-cv-04349-EMC

**JOINT STIPULATION OF  
 DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITH  
 PREJUDICE AND COURT TO  
 RETAIN JURISDICTION TO  
 ENFORCE SETTLEMENT;  
 [PROPOSED] ORDER**

Trial: Not Set

1 Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants ICE Consulting, Inc. (“ICE”); Uzair  
2 Sattar (“Sattar”); and Derick Needham (collectively, “Plaintiffs”); and Defendant  
3 and Counter-Claimant Gavin Jensen (“Defendant”) (Plaintiffs and Defendant shall  
4 be referred to individually as “Party” and collectively as “Parties”), by and  
5 through their respective attorneys, file this stipulation pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2)  
6 and (c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and agree that: (a) all claims or  
7 causes of action that were asserted by Plaintiffs against Defendant in the First  
8 Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 33) in the above-entitled action (“Action”) are to  
9 be dismissed with prejudice; (b) all claims or causes of action which were asserted  
10 by Defendant against Plaintiffs in the Counterclaim(s) (Dkt. No. 62) in the Action  
11 are to be dismissed with prejudice; (c) each Party is to bear its own attorneys’ fees  
12 and costs (except to the extent agreed to in writing otherwise); (d) each Party  
13 waives its right to appeal from the Court’s Order entered pursuant to this  
14 Stipulation; and (e) this Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the  
15 Confidential Settlement Agreement as set forth in its February 6, 2017 Order (Dkt.  
16 No. 107) retaining jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the Confidential Settlement  
17 Agreement entered into by the Parties.

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Date: February 7, 2017

NOSSAMAN LLP  
VERONICA M. GRAY  
ANDREW C. CRANE

By: /s/ Andrew C. Crane  
Andrew C. Crane

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counter-  
Defendants  
ICE Consulting, Inc.; Uzair Sattar;  
and Derick Needham

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Date: February 7, 2017

DHILLON LAW GROUP INC

By: /s/ Nitoj P. Singh

Nitoj P. Singh

Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-  
Claimant  
Gavin Jensen

Additional Counsel:

HARMEET K. DHILLON (SBN: 207873)

harmeet@dhillonlaw.com

NITTOJ P. SINGH (SBN: 265005)

nsingh@dhillonlaw.com

DHILLON LAW GROUP INC.

177 Post Street, Suite 700

San Francisco, California 94108

Telephone: (415) 433-1700

Facsimile: (415) 520-6593

Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-  
claimant Gavin Jensen

1 ~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER

2 Pursuant to the Parties Joint Stipulation of Dismissal of All Claims with Prejudice,  
3 and Good Cause Appearing,

4  
5 IT IS SO ORDERED that:

6 1. All claims or causes of action that were asserted by Plaintiffs against  
7 Defendant in the First Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 33) in the above-entitled  
8 action (“Action”) are hereby dismissed with prejudice;

9 2. All claims or causes of action which were asserted by Defendant  
10 against Plaintiffs in the Counterclaim(s) (Dkt. No. 62) in the Action are hereby  
11 dismissed with prejudice;

12 3. Each Party shall bear its own attorneys’ fees and costs (except to the  
13 extent agreed to in writing otherwise);

14 4. Each Party waives its right to appeal from this Order;

15 5. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the  
16 Confidential Settlement Agreement as set forth in its February 6, 2017 Order (Dkt.  
17 No. 107) retaining jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the Confidential Settlement  
18 Agreement entered into by the Parties. The Clerk of the Court is directed  
to close this case.

19 Dated: 2/9/2017

