

1 NOSSAMAN LLP
 2 VERONICA M. GRAY (SBN 72572)
 3 vgray@nossaman.com
 4 ANDREW C. CRANE (SBN 285211)
 5 acrane@nossaman.com
 6 18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1800
 Irvine, CA 92612
 Telephone: 949.833.7800
 Facsimile: 949.833.7878

7 S. ASHAR AHMED (SBN 256711)
 8 aahmed@nossaman.com
 9 50 California Street, 34th Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94111
 Telephone: 415.398.3600
 10 Facsimile: 415.398.2438

11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants
 12 ICE Consulting, Inc.; Uzair Sattar;
 and Derick Needham

13
 14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 15
 16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 17
 18 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

18 ICE CONSULTING, INC., UZAIR
 SATTAR, and DERICK NEEDHAM

19 Plaintiffs and Counter-
 20 Defendants,

21 vs.

22 GAVIN JENSEN,

23 Defendant and Counter-
 Claimant.

Case No: 3:16-cv-04349-EMC

**STIPULATION BY ALL PARTIES
 EXTENDING TIME FOR
 PLAINTIFFS TO RESPOND TO
 DEFENDANT'S
 COUNTERCLAIMS AND
 [PROPOSED] ORDER**

[LOCAL RULE 6-2]

Counterclaims Filed: Sept. 16, 2016
 Counterclaims Served: Sept. 16, 2016
 Initial Response Date: Oct. 11, 2016
 New Date to Respond: Oct. 25, 2016

Trial: Not Set

1 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(a)(1) and Local Rule 6-2
2 and 8-3, Plaintiffs ICE Consulting, Inc., Uzair Sattar, and Derick Needham
3 (collectively, “Plaintiffs”), and Defendant Gavin Jensen (“Defendant”)
4 (collectively, the “Parties”), by and through their respective counsel, hereby enter
5 into this Stipulation Extending Time For Plaintiffs to Respond to Defendant’s
6 Counterclaims. This Stipulation is based on the contents of this stipulation and the
7 Declaration of Andrew C. Crane (“Crane Dec.”), filed concurrently herewith.

8 WHEREAS, on September 16, 2016, Defendant filed an Answer and
9 Counterclaims to Plaintiffs’ First Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 62). Crane Dec.,
10 ¶ 2.

11 WHEREAS, at the September 15, 2016 Motion for Preliminary Injunction
12 hearing, the Court ordered the Parties to commence settlement discussions
13 forthwith. Crane Dec., ¶ 3.

14 WHEREAS, the Parties are currently engaged in settlement discussions per
15 the Court’s instruction. Crane Dec., ¶ 3.

16 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs’ response to Defendant’s Counterclaims is due on
17 October 11, 2016. Crane Dec., ¶ 4.

18 WHEREAS, the Parties wish to continue focusing exclusively on settlement
19 negotiations before incurring any additional expense. Crane Dec., ¶ 4.

20 WHEREAS, there have been no previous time modifications in this case.
21 Crane Dec., ¶ 5.

22 WHEREAS, the Court has set the Case Management Conference in this
23 matter for November 8, 2016. Crane Dec., ¶ 6.

24 WHEREAS, the extension sought by this Stipulation will not affect the
25 schedule for this case. Crane Dec., ¶ 6.

26
27

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

THEREFORE, THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE:

That Plaintiffs' deadline to respond to Defendant's Counterclaims shall be extended to October 25, 2016.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Date: October 7, 2016

NOSSAMAN LLP
VERONICA M. GRAY
ANDREW C. CRANE

By: /s/ Andrew C. Crane
Andrew C. Crane

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants
ICE Consulting, Inc.; Uzair Sattar;
and Derick Needham

Date: October 7, 2016


DHILLON LAW GROUP INC

By: /s/ Nitroj P. Singh
Nitroj P. Singh

Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Claimant
Gavin Jensen

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.



STIPULATION BY ALL PARTIES EXTENDING TIME FOR PLAINTIFFS TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANT'S COUNTERCLAIMS AND [PROPOSED] ORDER