STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE OF CMCS AND EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINTS CASE NOS. 16-CV-04710-RS, 16-CV-04915-RS

SUNPOWER CORPORATION, THOMAS H. WERNER, and CHARLES D. BOYNTON,

26

27

28

Defendants.

WHEREAS, the complaints in the above-captioned related shareholder class actions set forth claims under the federal securities laws that are subject to the requirements of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 ("PSLRA"), including those set forth in 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4;

WHEREAS, the PSLRA requires that, after filing a securities class action, the plaintiff must give notice of the action to allow other interested shareholders the opportunity to file motions for appointment as lead plaintiff (*see* 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(3)) and, thereafter, that the Court appoint a lead plaintiff;

WHEREAS, on October 17, 2016, multiple movants filed competing motions seeking to be appointed lead plaintiff and to consolidate the *Bristow* and *Patel* actions (Dkt. Nos. 13, 17, 18, 22, 26);

WHEREAS, the hearing regarding the lead plaintiff motions is scheduled for December 8, 2016;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the August 18, 2016 Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference in the *Bristow* action, a Case Management Conference was scheduled for November 17, 2016 and subsequently continued to November 18, 2016 pursuant to the Clerk's Notice issued November 1, 2016;

WHEREAS, prior to reassignment to this Court, a Case Management Conference in the *Patel* action was set for November 29, 2016;

WHEREAS, to avoid unnecessary expenditure of judicial resources or effort by the parties and the Court, counsel for plaintiffs in the *Bristow* and *Patel* actions and defendants have agreed (1) that defendants need not respond to the *Bristow* and *Patel* complaints or the complaint in any action consolidated into this action, other than an amended or consolidated complaint or a complaint designated as the operative complaint after the appointment of lead plaintiff; and (2) to continue the Initial Case Management Conferences in the *Bristow* and *Patel* actions and associated deadlines until after a lead plaintiff has been appointed to represent the alleged class.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among counsel for the undersigned parties, subject to approval of the Court, as follows:

STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE OF CMCs AND EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINTS CASE NOS. 16-CV-04710-RS, 16-CV-04915-RS

1 2	Dated: November 2, 2016	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation
3		By: /s/Steven M. Schatz Steven M. Schatz
4		
5		650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
6		Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 493-6811
7		Email: sschatz@wsgr.com
8		Attorneys for Defendants
9		
10	Dated: November 2, 2016	GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP
11		By: /s/ Lesley F. Portnoy
12		Lesley F. Portnoy
13		Lionel Z. Glancy Robert V. Prongay
14		Lesley F. Portnoy Charles H. Linehan
15		1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100
16		Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 201-9150
17		Facsimile: (310) 201-9160 Email: lportnoy@glancylaw.com
18		LAW OFFICES OF HOWARD G. SMITH
19		Howard G. Smith 3070 Bristol Pike, Suite 112
20		Bensalem, PA 19020 Telephone: (215) 638-4847
21		Facsimile: (215) 638-4867
22		Attorneys for Plaintiff Kenneth Bristow
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	Dated: November 2, 2016	POMERANTZ LLP
2		
3		By: /s/ J. Alexander Hood, II  J. Alexander Hood II, admitted pro hac vice
4		Jeremy A. Lieberman
5		J. Alexander Hood II 600 Third Avenue, 20th Floor
6		New York, New York 10016 Telephone: (212) 661-1100 Facsimile: (212) 661-8665
7		Email: ahood@pomlaw.com
8		POMERANTZ LLP Jennifer Pafiti
9		468 North Camden Drive Beverly Hills, CA 90210
10		Telephone: (818) 532-6499
11		Attorneys for Plaintiff Jay Patel
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO OPDERED.
2	DATED: 11/8/16
3	The Honorable Richard Seebd United States District Judge
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE OF CMCs AND EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINTS CASE NOS. 16-CV-04710-RS, 16-CV-04915-RS

28