

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CONWAY KING, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated.)	Case No. 3:16-cv-04853-VC
)	
Plaintiffs,)	PROPOSED ORDER DISMISSING
)	ACTION
vs.)	
)	
AT&T, Corp.)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	

[PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING ACTION

1 The Court, having considered the submissions of Plaintiff Conway King (collectively,
2 “Named Plaintiff”) and Defendant Pacific Bell Telephone Company (“Pac Bell”)¹ (together, “the
3 Parties”), and having considered the oral presentation by counsel for all Parties, and good cause
4 appearing therefor, this Court orders as follows:

- 5 1. The individual causes of action of Plaintiff Conway King are hereby dismissed with
6 prejudice;
- 7 2. The class allegations asserted in the operative complaint in this action are dismissed
8 without prejudice to any unnamed class members;
- 9 3. Defendant shall give notice of this dismissal to the Communications Workers of
10 America: Local 9400;
- 11 4. Defendant shall file proof of service of such notice of the dismissal of this action on the
12 Communications Workers of America: Local 9400 within fourteen (14) days of entry of
13 the Order dismissing the action; and
- 14 5. Each of the Parties shall bear their own costs and attorneys’ fees.

15
16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17
18 Dated: February 14, 2018



19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
HON. VINCE CHHABRIA

¹ The Parties previously agreed that Defendant Pacific Bell Telephone Company erroneously was sued as “AT&T Corp.”
See Larson v. Pacific Bell Telephone Company, Case No. 3:16-cv-04858-VC (ECF 12, 20, 40).