

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

THOMAS R. JOLIN, individually, and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated.

Plaintiffs,

vs.

AT&T, Corp.

Defendants.

)  
) Case No. 3:16-cv-04863-VC  
)  
)

) ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER DISMISSING  
) ACTION

---

---

[PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING ACTION

1 The Court, having considered the submissions of Plaintiff Thomas R. Jolin (“Named  
2 Plaintiff”) and Defendant Pacific Bell Telephone Company (“Pac Bell”)<sup>1</sup> (together, “the Parties”),  
3 and having considered the oral presentation by counsel for all Parties, and good cause appearing  
4 therefor, this Court orders as follows:

- 5 1. The individual causes of action of Plaintiff Thomas R. Jolin are hereby dismissed with  
6 prejudice;
- 7 2. The class allegations asserted in the operative complaint in this action are dismissed  
8 without prejudice to any unnamed class members;
- 9 3. Defendant shall give notice of this dismissal to the Communications Workers of  
10 America: Local 9400;
- 11 4. Defendant shall file proof of service of such notice of the dismissal of this action on the  
12 Communications Workers of America: Local 9400 within fourteen (14) days of entry of  
13 the Order dismissing the action; and
- 14 5. Each of the Parties shall bear their own costs and attorneys’ fees.

15  
16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17  
18 Dated: February 14, 2018

19   
HON. VINCE CHHABRIA

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 <sup>1</sup> The Parties previously agreed that Defendant Pacific Bell Telephone Company erroneously was sued as “AT&T Corp.”  
28 *See Larson v. Pacific Bell Telephone Company*, Case No. 3:16-cv-04858-VC (ECF 12, 20, 40).