UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

 ${\tt GOPRO\ HONG\ KONG\ LTD.},$

Petitioner,

v.

2B TRADING, INC. and UNITED WORLD BRANDS,

Respondents.

Case No. 16-cv-05113-JD

ORDER RE MOTIONS TO SEAL

Re: Dkt. Nos. 17, 19, 28

On September 6, 2016, Petitioner GoPro Hong Kong Ltd. filed a petition to confirm a Final Arbitration Award and for entry of judgment against respondents 2B Trading, Inc. and United World Brands. Dkt. No. 1. The Court confirmed the final award and entered judgment against 2B Trading and United World Brands on December 9, 2016 and January 31, 2017, respectively. Dkt. Nos. 42, 43, 53, 54. In the course of this litigation, GoPro filed three administrative motions to seal portions of its Final Arbitration Award, International Distribution Agreement and First Amendment to the International Distribution Agreement, and the Petition to Vacate the Arbitration Award filed by 2B Trading in Florida state court, under Civil Local Rule 79-5. Dkt. Nos. 17, 19, 28. The Court grants the requests in their entirety.

I. STANDARDS

In our circuit, a party seeking to seal documents filed in connection with a dispositive motion must establish "compelling reasons" to overcome a historically "strong presumption of access to judicial records." *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-79 (9th Cir. 2006) (internal quotations omitted). This standard presents a "high threshold," and "a 'good cause' showing will not, without more, satisfy" it. *Id.* at 1180 (citations omitted). To meet the "compelling reasons" standard, a party seeking to seal material must show specific,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

individualized reasons for the sealing, "without relying on hypothesis or conjecture," such as "whether disclosure of the material could result in improper use of the material for scandalous or libelous purposes or infringement upon trade secrets." See Pintos v. Pacific Creditors Ass'n, 605 F.3d 665, 679, 679 n.6 (9th Cir. 2009) (quoting Hagestad v. Tragesser, 49 F.3d 1430, 1434 (9th Cir. 1995)). The Ninth Circuit has found the compelling reasons standard met by "pricing terms, royalty rates, and guaranteed minimum payment terms" in a license agreement, as these are trade secrets used in the party's business, conferring an opportunity to obtain advantage over competitors who do not know or use them. In re Elec. Arts, Inc., 298 F. App'x 568, 569 (9th Cir. 2008). However, "[s]imply mentioning a general category of privilege, without any further elaboration or any specific linkage with the documents, does not satisfy the burden." Kamakana, 447 F.3d at 1184. Although GoPro's motion to seal portions of the Final Award was initially filed in connection with an opposition to 2B Trading's motion to dismiss, the Final Award was used in dispositive motions. Dkt. Nos. 17, 19, 20, 49. The motion to seal the Petition to Vacate the Arbitration Award, while filed in connection with a motion to strike, similarly seeks to seal language from the Final Award. Dkt. Nos. 27, 28. The International Distribution Agreement and First Amendment were filed with motions to confirm the Final Award. Dkt. Nos. 19, 20, 49. Consequently, GoPro does not dispute that the "compelling reasons" standard applies.

Under Civil Local Rule 79-5(b), a sealing request must also "be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material," and "establish[] that the document, or portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law." When ordering sealing, the district court must "articulate the rationale underlying its decision to seal." Apple Inc. v. Psystar Corp., 658 F.3d 1150, 1162 (9th Cir. 2011).

II. **DETERMINATIONS**

This table summarizes GoPro's administrative motions to seal:

Motion (Dkt. No.)	Documents Sought to be Sealed	Declarations in Support (Dkt. No.)
17	The Final Award	17-1, 17-2
	Exhibit A to the Declaration Of Melissa J. Baily In Support Of GoPro's Opposition To Respondents' Motion To Dismiss (Dkt. No. 18-2)	

Motion (Dkt. No.)	Documents Sought to be Sealed	Declarations in Support (Dkt. No.)
19	International Distribution Agreement and First Amendment	19-1, 19-2
	Exhibit A to the Declaration Of Melissa J. Baily In Support Of GoPro's	
	Motion To Confirm Final Award (Dkt. No. 20-2)	
	The Final Award	17-1, 17-2
	Exhibit B to the Declaration Of Melissa J. Baily In Support Of GoPro's	
	Motion to Confirm Final Award (Dkt. No. 20-3)	
28	Petition To Vacate Arbitration Award	
	Exhibit C to the Declaration Of Melissa J. Baily In Support Of GoPro's	17-1, 17-2
	Motion To Strike (the petition quotes the Final Award that is the subject	
	of Dkt. No. 117) (Dkt. No. 27-4)	

Overall, the motions to seal seek to protect confidential business information related to GoPro's distribution channels and practices. Outside of this litigation, GoPro requires its distributors to keep all terms of their distributorship agreements with GoPro confidential. Dkt. No. 17-1 (Walker Decl.) ¶ 4. Nonetheless, GoPro carefully followed the local rules to ensure each request was narrowly tailored. The Court grants each motion in its entirety.

Dkt. No.	Reason For Request To File Under Seal	Ruling		
(to be				
sealed)				
18-2	The Final Award: portions of paragraphs 144-148,	Granted . The number of		
	151, and 180 and footnotes 24, 25, and 32.	unauthorized sales and the		
		geographic distributions of		
	The information in these paragraphs and footnotes	registrations that GoPro		
	relates to GoPro, Inc.'s "secret shopper program,"	considers indicative of gray		
	customer registration data, distributor supply levels,	marketing constitute trade secret details. <i>See In re Elec</i> .		
	and other details relevant to brand protection and channel governance. Certain portions of these	Arts, Inc., 298 F. App'x at 569.		
	paragraphs (mostly unit numbers, dollar figures, and	The request is narrowly tailored		
	percentages) must be kept confidential in order for	to seal only phrases and		
	GoPro, Inc. to effectively administer its brand	specific numbers of the 75-page		
	protection and channel governance programs and	Final Award.		
	protocols.	111111111111111111111111111111111111111		
18-2	The Final Award: portions of paragraphs 68(c)-(e),	Granted . The sealed portions		
	94, 98, and 163 and footnotes 15 and 16.	represent sensitive distribution		
		agreement terms, including		
	The information in these paragraphs and footnotes	minimum purchase		
	relates to certain terms of a contract negotiated	requirements, minimum volume		
	between GoPro Hong Kong Ltd. and its former	targets, pricing and payment		
	distributors for Colombia. GoPro actively protects	terms, reporting requirements,		
	the confidentiality of the terms of its distributorship	marketing expenditure		
	agreements (including by requiring its distributors to	requirements, and liability		
	keep those terms confidential), and those terms must	exclusions. See Ovonic Battery		
	3			

Dkt. No. (to be sealed)	Reason For Request To File Under Seal	Ruling
,	be kept confidential in order for the GoPro entities to effectively administer their distribution network.	Co. v. Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd., No. 14-cv-01637-JD, 2014 WL 2758756, at *3 (N.D. Cal. Jun. 17, 2014). The request is narrowly tailored to specific terms that would place GoPro at a competitive disadvantage if made public.
20-2	International Distribution Agreement: portions of paragraphs 1, 3(a)-(d), 4(a)-(b), 5, 6(b), 6(d), 6(e), 7(a)-(c), 9(a)-(b), 10(b)-(d), 11(a), 11(b), 11(d), 12, 13, and Exhibit B. First Amendment To International Distribution Agreement: portions of paragraphs 2, 3, 5, and 6 and Exhibit B The GoPro entities actively protect the confidentiality of all of the terms of distributorship agreements (including by requiring distributors to keep those terms confidential). The disclosure of the subset of terms enumerated here would affect the ability of the GoPro entities to effectively administer their distribution network and would place those entities at a competitive disadvantage in negotiating with distributors and customers going forward.	Granted. The request is narrowly tailored to include only sensitive, confidential business information including pricing, forecasts, distributor obligations, marketing expenditures, termination and post-termination rights and obligations, and liability. See Ovonic Battery Co., 2014 WL 2758756, at *4.
20-3	The Final Award: Exhibit B to the Declaration Of Melissa J. Baily In Support Of GoPro's Motion to Confirm Final Award Same as Final Award in Dkt. No. 18-2	Granted for the reasons in Dkt. No. 18-2 above.
27-4	Petition To Vacate Arbitration Award: The portions of page 17, quoting paragraph 180 of the Final Award. Same as Final Award in Dkt. No. 18-2	Granted for the reasons in Dkt. No. 18-2 above.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 27, 2017

JAMES DONATO United states District Judge