

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DANIEL GRACE, *et al.*,
Plaintiffs,

No. C 16-05165 WHA

v.

ALASKA AIR GROUP, INC., *et al.*,
Defendants.

**ORDER DENYING PRO
HAC VICE APPLICATION
OF ATTORNEY GIL D. MESSINA**

The *pro hac vice* application of Attorney Gil D. Messina (Dkt. No. 21) is **DENIED** for failing to comply with Local Rule 11-3. The local rule requires that an applicant certify that “he or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States *Court* or of *the highest court* of another State or the District of Columbia, *specifying such bar*” (emphasis added). Filling out the *pro hac vice* form from the district court website such that it only identifies the state of bar membership — such as “the bar of New Jersey” — is inadequate under the local rule because it fails to identify a specific court. While the application fee does not need to be paid again, the application cannot be processed until a corrected form is submitted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 18, 2016.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE