

1 JOHN E. HILL (SBN 45338)
 john.hill@hill-law-offices.com
 2 DENISE MEJLSZENKIER (SBN215166)
 d.mejl@hill-law-offices.com
 3 LAW OFFICES OF JOHN E. HILL
 A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
 4 333 Hegenberger Road, Suite 500
 Oakland, California 94621
 5 Telephone: (510) 588-1000
 Fax: (510) 633-2504

6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 7 WILLIAM JEFF HOLLEY & PHILLIP CALVIN

8
 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 WILLIAM JEFF HOLLEY; 14 PHILLIP CALVIN; 15 Plaintiffs, 16 vs. 17 TECHTRONIC INDUSTRIES NORTH AMERICA, INC., a corporation; 18 and DOES 1 through 500; 19 Defendants.	Case No. 16-CV-05475-WHO STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER TO CONTINUE BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO REMAND Honorable William H. Orrick
--	---

20
 21 It is hereby stipulated by and between the parties, Plaintiffs WILLIAM JEFF HOLLEY
 22 and PHILLIP CALVIN and Defendants TECHTRONIC INDUSTRIES NORTH AMERICA,
 23 INC. and ONE WORLD TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their respective attorneys of
 24 record, to extend the briefing schedule on Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand, and at the discretion of
 25 the Court, to likewise continue the hearing date on said motion.

26 Whereas on September 26, 2016 Defendants filed a Notice of Removal of this matter
 27 from state Court, on October 26, 2016 Plaintiffs filed their Motion to Remand. As such,
 28 pursuant to Civil L.R. 7.3 any opposition would be due by November 9, 2016 and any reply

1 thereto would be due by November 16, 2016.

2 Whereas the parties agree that further time is necessary for briefing on Plaintiff's Motion
3 to Remand due to a conflict with Plaintiffs' counsels' schedule and availability.

4 Whereas, on October 28, 2016, the Court, sua sponte, continued the hearing on Plaintiffs'
5 Motion to Remand to December 7, 2016

6 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY JOINTLY STIPULATED, by and between the
7 parties through their respective counsel of record, and subject to the Court's approval, that the
8 briefing schedule on Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand be continued as follows:

- 9 1. Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand, if any, shall be due filed and served
10 on or before November 22, 2016.
- 11 2. Plaintiffs' reply brief, if any, on their Motion to Remand, shall be due filed and
12 served on or before November 29, 2016.
- 13 3. The parties further stipulate to having the hearing date on Plaintiffs' Motion to
14 Remand continued to December 21, 2016, if the Court desires additional time to
15 review the parties' briefing prior to the hearing on the motion.

16
17 SO STIPULATED.

18
19 DATED: 11/2/16

LAW OFFICES OF JOHN E. HILL
A Professional Corporation

20
21
22 By: 

23 DENISE MEJLSZENKIER
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
WILLIAM JEFF HOLLEY and
PHILLIP CALVIN

24
25
26 //

27 //

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DATED: 11.02.16

RILEY SAFER HOLMES & CANCELILA, LLP

By:



LANCE C. CIDRE
Attorneys for Defendants
TECHTRONIC INDUSTRIES NORTH
AMERICA, INC. and ONE WORLD
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

ORDER

Good cause appearing, from the Stipulation and the accompanying Declaration of Denise Mejszenkier, it is SO ORDERED that the briefing schedule on Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand shall be continued as follows:

1. Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand, if any, shall be filed and served on or before November 22, 2016.
2. Plaintiffs' reply brief, if any, on their Motion to Remand, shall be filed and served on or before November 29, 2016.
3. Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand shall be continued to December 21, 2016.

Dated: November 8, 2016



Honorable William H. Orrick
District Court Judge