1

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 JACQUES LONDON SAMUEL, Case No.<u>16-cv-05560-JSC</u> 8 Plaintiff, 9 ORDER OF DISMISSAL v. 10 SAN FRANCISCO SHERIFF'S 11 DEPARTMENT, 12 Defendant. 13 14 On December 27, 2016, the Court dismissed Plaintiff's civil rights complaint for failure to 15 16 17 18

present a cognizable claim for relief. Plaintiff was granted 28 days to file an amended complaint. He has failed to do so. This case is accordingly DISMISSED with prejudice. See WMX Technologies v. Miller, 104 F.3d 1133, 1136 (9th Cir. 1997) (when complaint has been dismissed with leave to amend and plaintiff does not amend, further district court determination is necessary).

The clerk shall enter judgment and close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 3, 2017

JELINE SCOTT CORLE United States Magistrate Judge

Plaintiff consented to the jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). (ECF No. 4.)