

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**United States District Court**  
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RONALD MAZZAFERRO,

Plaintiff,

No. C 16-05641 WHA

v.

WILLIAM PARISI, *et al.*,

Defendants.

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

---

Plaintiff's responses to the pending motions to dismiss were due on February 8 and February 9, respectively. Now, more than one week later, no response has been filed. By **THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 23 AT NOON**, plaintiff shall **SHOW CAUSE**, in writing, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. Plaintiff's submission must include his response to the pending motions his response to the pending motions and a sworn statement justifying his failure to respond. Failure to timely respond or to show adequate cause for the delay may result in dismissal.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: February 17, 2017.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE