## 1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 RONALD MAZZAFERRO, 11 Plaintiff, No. C 16-05641 WHA 12 v. 13 WILLIAM PARISI, et al., ORDER STRIKING AMENDED COMPLAINT 14 Defendants. AND QUASHING SERVICE 15 16 On January 25, 2017, Officers Crum and Johnson moved to dismiss (Dkt. No. 28). 17 Pursuant to Rule 15(a)(1)(B), plaintiff had until February 15 to amend his complaint as a matter 18 of course. Plaintiff filed his first amended complaint on February 21 (Dkt. No. 38). He did not 19 seek leave to amend. The amended complaint is therefore **STRICKEN** as untimely and without 20 leave. Any service of the summonses for the defendants added in that amended complaint is 21 **QUASHED**. This is without prejudice to a proper motion seeking leave to amend. 22 The proposed amendments did not affect the arguments in the pending motion to 23 dismiss, and the hearing on that motion (and the motion to set aside default) remains on 24 calendar as scheduled. 25 26 IT IS SO ORDERED. 27 28 Dated: February 28, 2017.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

## **United States District Court**

For the Northern District of California