

1 PAUL T. FRIEDMAN (BAR NO. 98381)
 PFriedman@mofo.com
 2 STACEY M. SPRENKEL (BAR NO. 241689)
 SSprenkel@mofo.com
 3 CAITLIN SINCLAIRE BLYTHE (BAR NO. 265024)
 CBlythe@mofo.com
 4 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
 425 Market Street
 5 San Francisco, California 94105-2482
 Telephone: 415.268.7000

6 GREGORY B. KOLTUN (BAR NO. 130454)
 GKoltun@mofo.com
 7 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
 707 Wilshire Boulevard
 8 Los Angeles, California 90017-3543
 9 Telephone: 213.892.5200

10 Attorneys for Defendant
 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15 RANDALL HOLL,
 16 Plaintiff,
 17 v.
 18 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.,
 19 Defendant.

Case No. 16-cv-05856-HSG

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
 ORDER STAYING DISCOVERY
 AND DEADLINE TO RESPOND
 TO THE COMPLAINT PENDING
 RESOLUTION OF MOTION TO
 COMPEL ARBITRATION**

Complaint served: October 20, 2016
 Current response due: December 19,
 2016

1 **STIPULATION**

2 Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Plaintiff Randall Holl and Defendant United
3 Parcel Service, Inc. (“UPS”), by and through their undersigned counsel, stipulate as follows:

4 WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed the Complaint in this action on October 11, 2016, and served
5 UPS with the Complaint on October 20, 2016;

6 WHEREAS, on November 4, 2016, the parties stipulated and agreed to extend UPS’s
7 deadline to respond to the Complaint until December 19, 2016;

8 WHEREAS, Plaintiff has served early requests for production of documents under Rules
9 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;

10 WHEREAS, UPS intends on December 19, 2016 to file a motion to compel arbitration of
11 all claims alleged in the Complaint and stay the proceedings pending arbitration of this matter;

12 WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred, and agree that:

- 13 1. All discovery in this action shall be stayed pending resolution of UPS’s motion to
14 compel arbitration by the district court;
- 15 2. UPS’s time to serve a responsive pleading under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of
16 Civil Procedure shall be stayed pending resolution of UPS’s motion to compel
17 arbitration by the district court; and
- 18 3. The parties’ deadlines to file an ADR Certification and a Stipulation to ADR
19 Process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference shall be stayed pending
20 resolution of UPS’s motion to compel arbitration by the district court;

21 WHEREAS, this agreement is without prejudice to Plaintiff’s right to oppose UPS’s
22 motion to compel arbitration on any and all grounds, and without prejudice to Plaintiff’s right to
23 oppose a further stay in the event that UPS’s motion to compel arbitration is denied by the district
24 court.

25 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY AGREED AND STIPULATED, that (1) all discovery in
26 this action shall be stayed pending resolution of UPS’s motion to compel arbitration by the
27 district court; (2) UPS’s deadline to respond to the Complaint shall be stayed pending resolution
28 of UPS’s motion to compel arbitration by the district court; and (3) the parties’ deadlines to file

1 an ADR Certification and a Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone
2 Conference shall be stayed pending resolution of UPS's motion to compel arbitration by the
3 district court.

4
5 Dated: December 16, 2016

STACEY M. SPRENKEL
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

6
7
8 By: /s/ Stacey M. Sprenkel
Stacey M. Sprenkel

9 Attorneys for Defendant
10 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.

11 Dated: December 16, 2016

KAI RICHTER

12
13 By: /s/ Kai Richter
KAI RICHTER

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff
15 RANDALL HOLL

16
17 **ECF ATTESTATION**

18 I, Stacey M. Sprenkel, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this
19 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER STAYING DISCOVERY AND DEADLINE TO
20 RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT PENDING RESOLUTION OF MOTION TO COMPEL
21 ARBITRATION. In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1, concurrence in the filing of this
22 document has been obtained from Kai Richter, counsel for Plaintiff, and I shall maintain records
23 to support this concurrence for subsequent production for the Court if so ordered or for inspection
24 upon request by a party.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: December 16, 2016

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

By: /s/ Stacey M. Sprenkel
STACEY M. SPRENKEL

Attorneys for Defendant
UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 1/5/2017

By: 
HON. HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 The undersigned hereby certifies that on the 16th day of December, 2016, the foregoing
3 document was filed electronically on the CM/ECF system, which caused all CM/ECF participants
4 to be served by electronic means.

5
6 /s/ Stacey M. Sprenkel
7 STACEY M. SPRENKEL

8 Attorneys for Defendant
9 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28