

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WYATT COPPERNOLL,
Plaintiff,

No. C 16-05936 WHA

v.

**ORDER REQUESTING
SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING**

HAMCOR, INC.,

Defendant.


Prior to the hearing on January 12, 2017, on defendant’s motion to compel arbitration and plaintiff’s motion to amend the complaint to add a PAGA claim, the Court requests supplemental briefing from both sides on the following question:

Assuming the Supreme Court reverses the Ninth Circuit’s decision in *Morris v. Ernst & Young, LLP*, would that reversal apply retroactively to district court proceedings that relied on the *Morris* decision in the interim?

Each side’s supplemental brief shall not exceed **THREE PAGES** in length with no footnotes, and shall be double spaced using size 12 font and normal margins. The supplemental briefs are due by **DECEMBER 29 AT NOON**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 8, 2016.


WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE