Nannegari v	Moore Law Group		Doc. 19
1	J. Erik Heath, Esq. (SBN 304683) 100 Bush Street, Suite 1800		
2	San Francisco, CA 94104		
3	Telephone Number: (415) 391-2391 Facsimile: (415) 449-6556		
4	Email Address: erik@heathlegal.com		
5			
	Attorney for Plaintiff ROHIT NANNEGARI		
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES I NORTHERN DISTRIC		
9		CI OF CALIFORNIA	
10	ROHIT NANNEGARI,	Civil Action No. 3:16-cv-6060-MMC	
	Plaintiff,		
11	v.	STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE; [PROPOSED] ORDER	
12			
13	THE MOORE LAW GROUP, A PROF. CORP.,		
14	Defendant.		
15			
16			
17	COME NOW Plaintiff ROHIT NANNEGARI and Defendant THE MOORE LAW		
18	GROUP, A PROF. CORP., by and through the undersigned counsel of record, and file this		
	Stipulation of Dismissal <u>With Prejudice</u> pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(2). It is agreed		
19	that each party will bear its own costs and attorney's fees.		
20			
21	Detad: 1/0/2017	NIZ LIEATU ATTONNEN AT LAW	
22	Dated: <u>1/9/2017</u> J. EI	RIK HEATH, ATTORNEY AT LAW	
23	By:	/s/ Jon Erik Heath	
24	by.	J. Erik Heath	
		Attorney for Plaintiff ROHIT NANNEGARI	
25			
26			
27	//		
28	Nannegari v. The Moore Law Group, APC	Case No. 3:16-cv-6060	
	Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice	Page 1	

1	Dated: <u>1/9/2017</u> THE MOORE LAW GROUP, A.P.C.
2	Day (-/ Daysia Malalasi
3	By: <u>/s/ Ramin Mahdavi</u> Ramin Mahdavi
4	Attorney for Defendant THE MOORE LAW GROUP, A.P.C.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	Pursuant to L.R. 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I, J. Erik Heath, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories. I declare under
10	penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and
11	correct. Executed this 9th day of January, 2017, at San Francisco.
12	By: <u>/s/ Jon Erik Heath</u> J. Erik Heath
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	Nannegari v. The Moore Law Group, APC Case No. 3:16-cv-6060
	Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice Page 2

1	ORDER	
2	Having read the foregoing stipulation and agreement of the parties, and for good cause	
3	appearing, the Court hereby orders:	
4	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED THAT,	
5	The above action is dismissed with prejudice. Each party shall bear its own costs and	
6	attorney's fees.	
7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
8	Dated: January 9, 2017 Mafine M. Chesney	
9	U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	Nannegari v. The Moore Law Group, APCCase No. 3:16-cv-606[Proposed] Order on Stipulation of Dismissal With PrejudicePage	