

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SPECTRA LICENSING GROUP, LLC,
Plaintiff,
v.
MARVELL SEMICONDUCTOR INC., et
al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [16-cv-06093-RS](#)

**ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
DISMISS**

Plaintiff Spectra Licensing Group LLC (“Spectra”) initiated this patent infringement lawsuit in April 2016. On November 22, 2016, defendants Marvell Semiconductor Inc. and Marvell Technology Group LTD (collectively “Marvell”) filed a motion to dismiss the complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). Rather than respond to the motion, Spectra opted to file an amended complaint. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a) (providing plaintiffs leave to amend once as a matter of course within 21 days after service of a motion under Rule 12(b)). Marvell’s motion is therefore moot and denied without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 7, 2016



RICHARD SEEBORG
United States District Judge

United States District Court
Northern District of California